

SANOFI 🎝

Sustaining Innovation Analyst Day

Paris, December 13, 2017

Forward Looking Statements

This presentation contains forward-looking statements as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended. Forward-looking statements are statements that are not historical facts. These statements include projections and estimates and their underlying assumptions, statements regarding plans, objectives, intentions and expectations with respect to future financial results, events, operations, services, product development and potential, and statements regarding future performance. Forward-looking statements are generally identified by the words "expects", "anticipates", "believes", "intends", "estimates", "plans" and similar expressions. Although Sanofi's management believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, investors are cautioned that forward-looking information and statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and generally beyond the control of Sanofi, that could cause actual results and developments to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied or projected by, the forward-looking information and statements. These risks and uncertainties include among other things, the uncertainties inherent in research and development of new products, including future clinical trial results and analysis of clinical data (including post-marketing data), decisions by regulatory authorities, such as the FDA or the EMA, regarding whether and when to approve any drug, device or biological application that may be filed for any such product candidates as well as their decisions regarding labelling and other matters that could affect the availability or commercial potential of such product candidates. There are additional risks that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements, such as the lack of commercial success of certain product candidates once approved, pricing pressures, both in the United States and abroad, including pharmaceutical reimbursement and pricing, the future approval and commercial success of therapeutic alternatives, risks associated with intellectual property and any related pending or future litigation and the ultimate outcome of such litigation, changes in applicable laws or regulations, the impact of cost containment initiatives and subsequent changes thereto, as well as those risks and uncertainties discussed or identified in the public filings with the SEC and the AMF made by Sanofi, including those listed under "Risk Factors" and "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" in Sanofi's annual report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2016. Other than as required by applicable law, Sanofi does not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking information or statements.

SANOFI 🎝

Agenda

Opening Remarks

Olivier Brandicourt - Chief Executive Officer

Strategic Focus of Sanofi's R&D Model 2.0

Elias Zerhouni - President, Global R&D

Leading in Specialty Care

• Bill Sibold - EVP, Sanofi Genzyme

Building Immunology & Multiple Sclerosis

- · Jorge Insuasty SVP, Global Head of Development
- Frank Nestle Global Head of Immunology & Inflammation Therapeutic Research Area

Sustaining Rare Disease

 Rand Sutherland - Therapeutic Area Head, Rare Disease Development

Q&A Session

SANOFI 🏹

Building Oncology

- · Jorge Insuasty SVP, Global Head of Development
- Yong-Jun Liu SVP, Global Head of Research

Sustaining Diabetes & Cardiovascular

- Stefan Oelrich EVP, Diabetes & Cardiovascular
- Klaus Henning Jensen Therapeutic Area Head, Diabetes Development
- Jay Edelberg VP, Global Cardiovascular Development

Sustaining Vaccines

- David Loew EVP, Sanofi Pasteur
- John Shiver SVP, Vaccines R&D

Closing Remarks

• Elias Zerhouni - President, Global R&D

Q&A Session

K

В



Olivier Brandicourt Chief Executive Officer



Opening Remarks

Today We Will Focus on...





Sanofi Research and Development





Includes 4 Phase 1 products and 1 Phase 2 product for which Sanofi has opt-in rights but has not exercised these rights
 Adlyxin[®], Dengvaxia[®], Dupixent[®], Kevzara[®], Praluent[®], Soliqua[™] /Suliqua[™] 100/33, Toujeo[®]

R&D Transformation Has Resulted in R&D Productivity Above the Industry Average

2014-2016

- Advanced high-value development projects
- Robust launch pipeline
- Rigorous portfolio prioritization processes
- Further improved R&D organization efficiency
- Developed proprietary technology platforms
- Strengthened biologic capabilities
- Focused and fully aligned R&D with GBUs

R&D Productivity New product sales/R&D spending⁽¹⁾ Industry average 2011 2016

Sanofi's R&D Hub Model to Capture Innovation Through Cutting Edge Platform Technologies and Capabilities

| North America Hub | French Hub | German Hub | Asia-Pacific Hub | Partnered Tech | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Multi-Specifics PRR Antibody Conjugates | Multi-Specifics PRR Antibody Conjugates | Multi-SpecificsPeptidessiRNA | Digital Hub | BioNTech mRNA Mixture Ablynx Nanobodies | |
| | | | | | |
| Working across ge disciplines around | ographies, organizations a the Hub model | and EE Connec generat | ting with our biomedical ec e value through networks | osystem to | |

A Focused and Commercially-Aligned R&D Organization

| | Sanofi Genzyme | | | DCV | Sanofi Pasteur |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| | Immunology & MS | Oncology | Rare Disease | Diabetes & CV | Vaccines |
| Key Commercial Assets | Comprisent | Coccetores Coccetores Coccetores Coccetores Coccetores Coccetores | inglucosidase alfa) Myozyme: (alglucosidase alfa) Myozyme: (alglucosidase alfa) Myozyme: (alglucosidase alfa) | Coujeo Coujeo | Fluzone High-Dose INFLUENZA VACCINE Hexaxim Vacine Pentacel VaxigripTetra |
| Development Priorities | dupilumab Asthma* dupilumab Nasal Polyps* dupilumab EoE* dupilumab Food Allergies* dupilumab Pediatric studies* dupilumab COPD* IL33 ⁽¹⁾ Asthma* IL33 ⁽¹⁾ Acthma* IL33 ⁽¹⁾ Atopic Dermatitis* sarilumab GCA sarilumab PMR alemtuzumab PPMS BTK inhibitor ^{7*} MS | isatuximab <i>MM</i> isatuximab+cemiplimab <i>Solid</i> <i>tumors*</i> cemiplimab <i>CSCC*</i> cemiplimab <i>NSCLC*</i> cemiplimab <i>BCC*</i> cemiplimab <i>Cervical Cancer*</i> TGF-Beta mAb <i>Solid tumors</i> LAG3 ⁽²⁾ <i>Advanced Cancers**</i> Anti-CA6 ⁽³⁾ <i>TNBC</i> Anti-CEACAM5 ADC ⁽⁴⁾ <i>Solid tumors</i> SERD <i>MBC</i> | avalglucosidase alfa <i>Pompe</i> olipudase alfa <i>ASMD</i> patisiran h <i>ATTR amyloidosis*</i> fitusiran <i>Hemophilia*</i> venglustat <i>Gaucher type 3</i> venglustat GBA- <i>Parkinson's</i> venglustat <i>ADPKD</i> | Praluent [®] CV events reduction* sotagliflozin T1D* sotagliflozin T2D* efpeglenatide T2D* GLP-1/GCG ⁽⁵⁾ Obesity GLP-1/GCG ⁽⁵⁾ NASH GLP-1/GIP T2D mavacamten HCM* | MenQuad TT RSV mAb ^{(6)*} RSV Vaccine Fluzone [®] QIV HD PR5i |



EoE= Eosinophilic Esophagitis ; COPD= Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease ; PPMS= Primary Progressive Multiple Sclerosis ; RRMS= Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis ; MM= Multiple Myeloma : CSCC= Cutaneous Squamous Cell Carcinoma ; NSCLC= Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer ; BCC= Basal Cell Carcinoma ; TNBC= Triple Negative Breast Cancer; MBC= Metastatic Breast Cancer ; ASMD= Acid sphingo-myelinase deficiency; ADPKD= Autosomal Dominant Polycystic Kidney Disease ; T1D= Type 1 Diabetes ; T2D= Type 2 Diabetes ; NASH= Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis; HCM= Hypertropohic Cardiomyopathy

- (1) IL33=SAR440340
- (2) LAG3=REGN IO Ab(3) Anti-CA6 TNBC=SAR566658
- (4) Anti-CEACAM5 ADC=SAR408701
- (5) GLP-1 dual agonist=SAR425899
- (6) RSV mAb=SP0322
- (7) PRN2246

- * Partnered assets
- ** Opt-in rights product for which rights have not been exercised yet

9

9 Potential Submissions⁽¹⁾ for New Products or Additional **Indications Over Next 18 Months**



Planned Submissions



New Wave of Pivotal Study Starts Expected Over the Next 12 Months

| dupilumab ⁽¹⁾ Anti-IL4Rα mAb | COPDEosinophilic Esophagitis | isatuximab Anti-CD38 mAb | 1L MM SCT eligible 1L MM SCT ineligible |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--|
| venglustat ⁽²⁾ Oral GCS inhibitor | Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) | alemtuzumab | Primary Progressive MS |
| SAR425899 GLP-1/GCR dual agonist | • Obesity | cemiplimab | 1st line NSCLC |
| efpeglenatide ⁽³⁾ Once-weekly GLP-1RA | Type 2 Diabetes | mavacamten ⁽⁴⁾ | Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy |



COPD= Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; NSCLC= Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer
(1) Collaboration with Regeneron
(2) Phase 2/3 registrational study

(3) Collaboration with Hanmi

(4) Collaboration with Myokardia. Sanofi will lead ex-U.S. regulatory and commercial activities to mavacamten program where it has ex-U.S. commercialization rights 11 '

Financially Disciplined R&D Investments Based on Rigorous Prioritization Methodology

R&D Investments (in €bn)



Efficiency frontier provides a comparative view of the total value creation for a given R&D investment

Projects ranked from left to right in descending order of productivity



Cumulative Risk-Adjusted R&D Cost (€m)



Rigorous Candidate Selection Resulting in Probabilities of Success Above Industry Average in Later Stages

Projects discontinued at an early stage...

% projects discontinued by stage



...Higher probabilities of success in later stages

% probability of success by Phase (2014-2016)



Innovative Portfolio⁽¹⁾ Brings High Value to Patients



(1) Products in graphic include selected R&D pipeline projects and do not reflect the

entirety of Sanofi's clinical development portfolio (2)

Proof of concept based on competitor data

SANOFI 🗸

Partnered products: cemiplimab, dupilumab, anti-IL33 mAb, (Regeneron); anti-LAG3 (Regeneron product for which Sanofi has opt-in right): sotagliflozin (Lexicon): efpeglenatide (Hanmi); fitusiran, patisiran (Alnylam); mavacamten, MYK-491 (Myokardia) - Sanofi may have limited or shared rights on some of these products

Strategic Priorities in R&D to Drive a Leading Pipeline of Innovative Molecules





Elias Zerhouni President, Global R&D



Strategic Focus of Sanofi's R&D Model 2.0

Sanofi is a Science-Driven Company



% Pipeline First in Class Projects



SANOFI 🍞

(1) Nature: including Nature Journals and Nature Review Journals; Science: including Science, Science Translational Medicine, Science Advances, Sciences Signaling Source (Embase.com); scope (Articles, Conference Abstracts, Letters, Conference Papers, Notes, Editorials, Reviews, Chapters)

Scientific Approach to R&D: A Different Vision

- Deeper understanding of molecular networks and pathways through translational medicine
- Scientific evidence indicates most diseases will require combination of therapies to achieve success
- Molecules attacking multiple points in disease pathway may result in efficacy in several diseases or improved risk/benefit in single disease

"Dream Molecules" One Drug, Multiple Targets, Diverse Diseases









Majority of Pipeline Now Biologics, Vaccines or Novel Technologies

- More specificity, less off target toxicity
- Possible multi-functionality in one molecule
- Shorter development cycle time, higher probability of success
- Opportunity for diverse modalities (e.g. mRNA)
- Challenges to entry of biosimilars

% of Pipeline by Molecule Type





Leading Technology Platforms

Addressing Multiple Disease Targets with Single Complex Molecules



Proprietary Tri-specific Antibody⁽¹⁾ Demonstrated Unprecedented Potency for HIV-1 in Pre-Clinical Study



A Breakthrough Proof of Technical Concept in Science⁽²⁾



A Robust R&D Pipeline in 6 Therapeutic Areas

- Immunology
- Multiple Sclerosis & Neurology
- Oncology
- Rare Disease
- DCV
- Vaccines and Infectious Diseases





R&D Organization Built on Strong Capabilities with Addition of New Talent



| Specialty Care | Leading in Specialty Care | Bill Sibold | |
|----------------|--|-----------------|--|
| | Realize the potential of dupilumab | Jorge Insuasty | |
| immunology | Next wave of Immunology | Frank Nestle | |
| Rare Disease | Vision and ambition in Rare Disease Venglustat Patisiran and fitusiran Avalglucosidase-alfa Olipudase-alfa | Rand Sutherland | |
| ငြာ Oncology | Vision and ambition in Oncology Immuno-Oncology: Anti PD-1 Isatuximab Multiple Myeloma and beyond | Jorge Insuasty | |
| | Next wave in Oncology | Yong-Jun Liu | |



| | Diabetes strategy | Stefan Oelrich | |
|------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|
| Diabetes & Cardiovascular | GLP-1/GCG dual agonist Sotagliflozin Efpeglenatide | Klaus Henning Jensen | |
| ₩ | Cardiovascular | Jay Edelberg | |
| | _ | | |
| A | Vision and ambition in Vaccines | David Loew | |
| Vaccines | FluMeningitisRSV vaccine | John Shiver | |





Bill Sibold Executive Vice President, Sanofi Genzyme



Leading in Specialty Care

Driving Growth in Specialty Care Across 4 Franchises

Sanofi Genzyme Specialty Care Franchises





Strong U.S. Dupixent[®] Launch Outperforming Analogs



Dupilumab Clinical Program Focused on Population with Uncontrolled Persistent Asthma

Nearly 20% of diagnosed asthma patients have severe persistent disease Asthma patients by disease severity 2016 (all ages)



U.S. Patient Population





Sources: Datamonitor asthma report (Jan 2017). "Asthma Epidemiology." DMKC0142037. 23 July 2015"; Chung KF, et al. Eur Resp J. 2014:43(2). doi.10.1183/09031936.00202013.

Global Launch Opportunities in Multiple Diseases to Realize the Full Potential of a 'Pipeline in a Product'

Growth

- Dupilumab expected to be a key growth driver with significant commercial potential in multiple diseases
- Building a portfolio of opportunities around one compound
 - Launch of new indications over time
 - Geographic roll-out in global markets ٠
 - Penetration into adult, adolescent and pediatric • populations
 - Expansion in combination use •

Growth Opportunities across Diseases, Geographies and Demographics⁽¹⁾



SANOFI approved in indications by applicable Health Authority

Well-Positioned in the Growing Segments of the Market



Leveraging our Strength in Multiple Sclerosis

- Alemtuzumab
 - High unmet need in PPMS with limited treatment options
 - Pilot studies in SPMS and RRMS demonstrated prevention of disability progression
 - 1 year post alemtuzumab treatment, 33/36 SPMS patients had maintained pre-treatment EDSS
- BTK inhibitor PRN2246⁽²⁾
 - Recent licensing agreement signed with Principia for global rights to a potentially best-in-class brain penetrant oral BTK inhibitor

Alemtuzumab Impact on Disability in RRMS and SPMS Patients⁽¹⁾



Alemtuzumab Phase 3 in PPMS targeting 1,200 patients expected to start in H1 2018

Alemtuzumab is marketed under the brand name Lemtrada® in RRMS. BTK= Bruton's Tyrosine Kinase; RRMS= Relapsed Refractory Multiple Sclerosis; PPMS= Primary Progressive Multiple Sclerosis; SPMS= Secondary Progressive Multiple Sclerosis; EDSS= Expanded Disability Status Scale

(1) The Principia transaction remains subject to customary regulatory approvals and has not yet closed. Under the terms of the agreement Sanofi will develop PRN2246 oral treatment that shows promise in multiple sclerosis (MS) and, potentially, other central nervous system (CNS) disease.



(2) The window of therapeutic opportunity in multiple sclerosis: evidence from monoclonal antibody therapy. AJ Coles et al. J Neurol 2006 Jan; 253 98-108. Data annualised to allow comparison between time epochs of different duration. "Pre 1 year" reflects the 1-year period before treatment.

Sanofi's Strong Commitment to Oncology Expected to Begin to Deliver in 2018





NSCLC= Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer; BCC= Basal Cell Carcinoma; CSCC= Cutaneous Squamous Cell Carcinoma; RRMM= Relapsed Refractory Multiple Myeloma; MDS= Myelodysplastic Syndrome; AML= Acute Myeloid Leukemia; *cemiplimab partnered with Regeneron (1) Subject to U.S. FDA approval



Jorge Insuasty Senior Vice President, Global Head of Development



Building a Competitive Position in Immunology Realize the potential of dupilumab

A Fast Growing Portfolio of the Innovative Pipeline Assets Across Multiple Therapeutic Areas

2018 Immunology Development Pipeline

| Phase 1 | Phase 2 | | Phase 3 | | Registration |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| SAR439794 TLR4 agonist Peanut Allergy | SAR156597 IL4/IL13 bi-specific mAb Systemic Scleroderma | sarilumab Anti-IL6R mAb Systemic Juvenile Arthritis | Dupixent® Anti-IL4Rα mAb Atopic Dermatitis 12–17y | dupilumab Anti-IL4Rα mAb COPD | dupilumab Anti-IL4Rα mAb Asthma 12y+ |
| | GZ389988 TRKA antagonist Osteoarthritis | SAR440340 Anti-IL33 mAb Atopic Dermatitis | Dupixent [®] Anti-IL4Rα mAb Atopic Dermatitis 6–11y | dupilumab Anti-IL4Rα mAb Eosinophilic Esophagitis | |
| | sarilumab Anti-IL6R mAb Polyarticular Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis | SAR440340 Anti-IL33 mAb Asthma | Dupixent [®] Anti-IL4Rα mAb Atopic Dermatitis 6m-5y | sarilumab Anti-IL6R mAb Polymyalgia Rheumatica | Approved |
| | | SAR440340 Anti-IL33 mAb | dupilumab Anti-IL4Rα mAb | sarilumab Anti-IL6R mAb Giant Cell Arteritis | Anti-IL6R mAb Rheumatoid Arthritis |
| | | dupilumab Anti-IL4Rα mAb Grass Allergy | dupilumab Anti-IL4Rα mAb Asthma 6-11y | | Dupixent[®] Anti-IL4Rα mAb Atopic Dermatitis |
| | | dupilumab Anti-IL4Rα mAb Peanut Allergy | | | |

Ongoing

First patient scheduled in 2018


Development Program Confirms Dupilumab's Value Proposition in Multiple Immune-Mediated Diseases

| Comprehensive clinical program across |
|---|
| several diseases in the Type 2 spectrum |

| 2 | • |
|---|---|
|---|---|

3

First biologic to demonstrate positive clinical data in AD, Asthma, NP, EoE⁽¹⁾

New studies to be initiated in patients with multiple co-morbidities



New studies to be initiated in COPD and allergic indications



Large safety database with established profile for continuous therapy





Dupilumab Clinical Trial Program Planned to Expand across 7 Indications including Pediatric Patients in Asthma and AD



Dupilumab Being Evaluated as First-in-Class Dual Inhibitor of IL4/IL13 in Key Type 2 Conditions





Atopic Dermatitis: >2,500 Patient Development Program

Adult Patients

| Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 4-week monotherapy ⁽¹⁾ | 4-week concomitant TCS ⁽¹⁾ | SOLO 1 & 2 16-week monotherapy ⁽⁷⁾ | |
| Drug-drug interactions ⁽²⁾ | 12-week monotherapy ⁽¹⁾ | CHRONOS 52-week concomitant TCS ⁽⁸⁾ | |
| | 16-week monotherapy dose-ranging ⁽³⁾ | SOLO-CONTINUE 36-week monotherapy ⁽⁹⁾ | |
| | EXPLORE: 16-week monotherapy biopsy/biomarkers ⁽⁴⁾ | CAFÉ: 16-week concomitant TCS in cyclosporine-experienced patients ^(6,10) | |
| | EVALUATE: 16-week vaccine interaction (Tdap and MPSV4) ^(5,6) | Open-label extension ⁽¹¹⁾ | |

- (1) Beck LA *et al.* N Engl J Med 2014; 371:130–139.
- (2) ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02647086).

SANOFI

- (3) Thaçi D et al. Lancet 2016;387:40–52.
- (4) Guttman-Yassky E et al. J Invest Dermatol 2016;136:S224 abstract 373.
- (5) ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02210780).(6) Sapofi Conzumo, Pagaparan Data on filo. 2
- (6) Sanofi Genzyme, Regeneron. Data on file. 2016.

- (7) Simpson EL et al. N Engl J Med 2016;375:2335–2348.
- (8) Blauvelt A et al. The Lancet 2017; 389; 10086:2287-2303. ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02260986)
- (9) ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02395133).
- (10) ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02755649). Accessed February 2017
- (11) ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT01949311).

Higher Disease Burden of Atopic Dermatitis in Pediatrics

- Manifestations similar to adults, pruritus remains the cardinal symptom
- 1-year prevalence ~10% of U.S. pediatric population⁽¹⁾
 - 1-2% of these pediatric AD patients have severe disease^(2,3,4)
- Onset of disease for majority of children is about 5 years old

SANOFI

Similar Disease Manifestation in Children



- Shaw et al., J In Derm, Eczema Prevalence in the United States; Data from the 2003 National Survey of Children's Health, 2011, 131, 67-73
- (2) Charman CR, Williams HC. Epidemiology. In: Bieber T, Leung DYM, editors. Atopic Dermatitis. New York: Dekker; 2002. pp. 21–42
- (3) Emerson RM, Williams HC, Allen BR. Severity distribution of atopic dermatitis in the community and its relationship to secondary referral. British Journal of Dermatology. 1998;139(1):73–6
- (4) Atopic Eczema in Children: Management of Atopic Eczema in Children from Birth up to

the Age of 12 Years.. NICE Clinical Guidelines, No. 57. National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health (UK). London: RCOG Press; 2007 Dec

- (5) https://specialty.mims.com/topic/atopic-dermatitis-tied-to-increased-tooth-decay-risk-inchildren
- (6) Weinberg et al. Successful Treatment of Severe Atopic Dermatitis in a Child and an Adult With the T-Cell Modulator efalizumab; Arch Dermatol. 2006; 142(5):555-558

Proof of Concept Suggests Efficacy in Children and Adolescents with Atopic Dermatitis



Registrational studies initiated in age-groups ranging from 6 months to 17 years old



The safety and efficacy of dupilumab in pediatric AD patients has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority (1) Phase 2a, open-label, ascending-dose, sequential-cohort trial among atopic dermatitis patients failing TCS (2) EASI score is a tool used to measure the extent (area) and severity of atopic eczema (Eczema Area and Severity Index)

Inadequately Controlled Asthma Represents a Significant Unmet Medical Need and Economic Burden



- Asthma is a common chronic disease that leads to significant health and economic burden for patients and their families
- Despite existing therapies 5% to 10% of patients suffer from severe⁽¹⁾ forms
- Estimated direct and indirect economic burden of asthma
 - \$56bn in the U.S.⁽⁴⁾
 - €34bn in the EU⁽⁵⁾

5%-10% of U.S. asthma population with severe disease⁽¹⁾ accounts for 50% of all asthma $costs^{(2,3)}$



Estimated annual per-patient direct costs for this population are \$16,154 to \$32,308⁽³⁾

(1) Defined by hospitalization, ER visits, and/or requirement for systemic corticosteroids

(2) Chung K et al. International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. European Respiratory Journal. 2013;43(2):343-373.



(3) Hankin CS et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013;131(2);AB126.
(4) AAAAI; www.aaaai.org/about-aaaai/newsroom/asthma-statistics

(5) ERS White Book; www.erswhitebook.org/chapters/the-economic-burden-of-lung-disease

Dupilumab in Asthma - IL4/IL13 as Key Type 2 Cytokines that May Have Broad Effects on Type 2 Inflammation

- Type 2 inflammation in asthma involves a range of cytokines and mediators
- IL4/IL13 with unique roles as key drivers of Type 2 mediated asthma
- Type 2 asthma encompasses much more than eosinophilic changes alone
- IL13 mAbs have not been successful in Phase 3 development in asthma



SANOFI 🎝 🕻

- (3) Steinke J et al. Respir Res. 2001;2:66-70.
- (4) Corren J. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep. 2013;13(5):415-420.

A Comprehensive Asthma Clinical Development Program Conducted in a Broad Patient Population

Adult & Adolescent Patients

| Phase 2 | Phase 3 | |
|---|---|--|
| Pivotal DRI12544 ⁽¹⁾ Adults, Dose ranging – Pivotal 24 weeks, N=776 | Pivotal QUEST ⁽³⁾ Adults and adolescents (12+ years) 52 weeks, N=1,902 | |
| EXPEDITION ⁽²⁾ Adults, Exploratory (airway inflammation) 12 weeks, N=42 | Pivotal VENTURE ⁽⁴⁾ Adults and adolescents (12+ years) with severe steroid dependent asthma 24 weeks, N=210 | |
| | TRAVERSE ⁽⁵⁾ Open-label extension study up to 108 weeks, N=2,287 | |



Dupilumab in Asthma Pivotal Trial Program: Reduced Exacerbations in Overall Population



The safety and efficacy of dupilumab in asthma patients have not been evaluated by any regulatory authority

SANOF

Most common adverse event was injection site reaction, which was more frequent in the dupilumab dose groups than placebo. Other common adverse events more common with dupilumab than placebo were upper respiratory tract infection, headache, nasopharyngitis and bronchitis. Incidence of Infections and of serious adverse events was balanced across treatment groups

Dupilumab Demonstrated Rapid and Sustained Improvement of Lung Function





The safety and efficacy of dupilumab in asthma patients have not been evaluated by any regulatory authority

(1) The overall rates of adverse events, deaths, infections, conjunctivitis, herpes and discontinuations were comparable between the dupilumab and placebo groups. Injection site reactions were more common in the dupilumab groups (17% of dupilumab patients vs 8% for placebo patients).

Dupilumab Reduced OCS, Exacerbations and Improved Lung Function in Severe Steroid-Dependent Asthma Population



VENTURE Study: Overall Patient Population at Week 24

SANOFI SO OCS= Oral Corticosteroids; FEV1= Forced expiratory volume The safety and efficacy of dupilumab in asthma patients have not been evaluated by any regulatory authority

Dupilumab Demonstrated Efficacy Across Broad Population and Independent of Eosinophilic Phenotype



Consistent Reduction in Risk of Exacerbation and Improvement in Lung Function

The safety and efficacy of dupilumab in asthma patients has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority

SANOFI

Dupilumab's Profile Demonstrated in Pivotal Asthma Program Suggests Key Differentiation in Competitive Class

| Biologics in asthma | dupilumab | benrazilumab | mepolizumab | reslizumab | omalizumab | tezepelumab |
|---|---|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Mechanism of action | ✓ Dual inhibitor IL4/IL13 | Anti-IL5R | Anti-IL5 | Anti-IL5 | Anti-IgE | Anti-TSLP |
| Population studied | All comers/ biomarkers unrestricted | Eosinophilic phenotype | Eosinophilic phenotype | Eosinophilic phenotype | High IgE | All comers/ biomarkers unrestricted |
| Efficacy in Type 2 co-morbidities | ✓ Atopic Dermatitis ✓ PoC in EoE, NP | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a ⁽¹⁾ |
| Dosing & Administration | At-home administration, Q2W | In office by HCP, Q4W first 3 doses, then Q8W | In office by HCP, Q4W | In office by HCP, Q4W | In office by HCP, Q2W or Q4W | TBD |



Safety Database Supports Profile for Continuous Therapy



- No imbalance in serious infection or malignancy⁽¹⁾
- Update from asthma indication ongoing

High Unmet Medical Need in Patients with Chronic Rhinosinusitis with Nasal Polyps (CRSwNP)

- CRSwNP a prevalent and persistent disease
- CRSwNP affects 2-4% of adults⁽²⁾
 - 30-70% overlap rate with asthma⁽³⁾
- Symptoms (nasal blockage and congestion, loss of smell, facial pressure and pain) lead to reduced productivity, sleep and quality of life
- Standard of care: Intranasal steroid use, followed by functional endoscopic sinus surgery
 - Annual number of functional endoscopic sinus surgery procedures ~250K in U.S. and EU5
 - Recurrence post surgery in >50% of patients





Endoscopic images from a healthy person and patient with severe CRSwNP. Source: Schleimer RP. Annu Rev Pathol 2017;12:331–357
 Incidence across U.S., EU and Japan - Settipane 1977; Klossek 2005; Hedman 1999
 Ref: Alobid 2011b; Dietz de Loos 2013; Bachert 2010; Promsopa 2016; Hakansson 2015

Dupilumab Improved Endoscopic, Radiographic and Patient Reported Measures in PoC study



Improvement in Nasal Endoscopy NPS⁽¹⁾

Treatment with dupilumab (CT scan)⁽²⁾



~50% improvement in sinus patency

Phase 3 fully enrolled with read-out expected in H2 2018



The safety and efficacy of dupilumab in patients with NP has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority. Safety profile consistent with previous studies. Most common AEs were injection site reactions, nasopharyngitis, oropharyngeal pain, epistaxis, headache and dizziness. MFNS= Mometasone Furoate Nasal spray; LS= Least Squares; SE= Standard Error (1) NPS= Nasal Polyps Score; Bilateral score range 0–8 (0 = no polyps, 4 = large polyps causing complete obstruction of the inferior nasal cavity) Bachert and al.; Effect of Subcutaneous dupilumab on NP Burden in Patients With Chronic Sinusitis and NP; JAMA 2016;315:469-479 (2) Individual results did vary

Eosinophilic Esophagitis (EoE): A Type 2 Inflammation of the Esophagus with Limited Treatment Options

- Chronic allergic inflammatory disease localized to the esophagus
- Symptoms of esophageal dysfunction and histology resulting from eosinophilic inflammation
- Treatment options limited to diet changes, proton-pump inhibitors, corticosteroids and surgery (dilation)
- ~150K patients in the U.S.⁽¹⁾
 - Rising incidence
 - Approximately 60% with co-morbidities
 - >40% with family history of atopy or allergies⁽²⁾



Normal esophagus

Structural changes to esophagus⁽³⁾



A: Fixed esophageal rings; B: Linear furrowsC: A more focal structure in the distal esophagusD: Combination of multiple findings including rings, furrows, plaques, narrowing, decreased vascularity



(1) Dellon, et al., Prevalence of Eosinophilic Esophaghitis in the United States Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology. Volume 12, Issue 4, 2014

(2) Mohammad AA et al., Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology, 2017; 76(3);559-560

3) Reprinted from Gastroenterology, 147(6), Dellon ES, Liacouras CA, Advances in clinical management of eosinophilic esophagitis, 1238–1254, Copyright (2014), with permission from Elsevier 54

Dupilumab Improved Symptoms, Endoscopy and Histology Measurements in Moderate-to-Severe EoE in PoC study

Primary Endpoint (Subjective)

Dupilumab significantly reduced Straumann Dysphagia Instrument SDI PRO score at week 10

Secondary Endpoint (Objective)

Significant reduction in overall peak esophageal intraepithelial eosinophils at week 12



There were no new significant safety concerns in this trial. Higher rates of injection site reactions were observed on dupilumab versus placebo

Start of Phase 3 expected in H2 2018

SANOFI 5 The safety and efficacy of dupilumab in patients with EoE has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority

Dupilumab, by Blocking the IL4/IL13 Pathway, Potentially Addresses the Burden of Co-Morbidities Effectively

Co-morbidities represent large burden for patients suffering from immune-mediated diseases

Addressing co-morbidities in dupilumab development program is a key differentiator

Start of clinical program evaluating co-morbidities planned for 2018

SANOFI



Dupilumab to Start Phase 3 Program in COPD in 2018

Large unmet need for new treatment options in COPD

- Estimated market of ~€16bn in 2025⁽¹⁾
- Despite existing therapies a large subset of patients still experience severe exacerbations
- Significant need for a new MoA
- Approximately 2m patients in the U.S. at risk despite inhaled triple therapy⁽²⁾
- Penetration of biologics by 2025 ~10-15%



Compelling rationale for dupilumab development program in COPD

- Unmet need to prevent exacerbation and to improve pulmonary function
 No approved biologics to date
- Type 2 inflammation plays a key role in a group of COPD patients and is associated with decreased lung function⁽³⁾
- Leverage robust efficacy and safety data to build COPD development program for dupilumab

57



(3) Asthma–COPD Overlap. Clinical Relevance of Genomic Signatures of Type 2 Inflammation in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2015 Apr 1; 191(7): 758–766

IL33 mAb⁽¹⁾: Potential for Broader Spectrum of Immune Modulation in Atopic Dermatitis, Asthma and COPD



- IL33 is a proinflammatory cytokine released by damaged epithelial cells in response to insults such as allergens, pathogens and smoke⁽²⁾
- IL33 signaling initiates and amplifies downstream inflammatory pathways characteristic of both Type 1 and Type 2 inflammation⁽²⁾

- Target identified and validated by human genetics⁽³⁾
- Major opportunity in monotherapy and in combination
 - Building on the benefit of dupilumab in AD, as well as potentially asthma and COPD



IL33 mAb⁽¹⁾ as Monotherapy and in Combination with Dupilumab: Clinical Development Program

| Phase 1 Program | Phase 2 Program | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Phase 1 in Healthy Adults IL33 administered intravenously or subcutaneously | Completed | Phase 2b in Atopic Dermatitis | Planned to start H1 2018 |
| Phase 1b in Adult Patients with Moderate Asthma Studies safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics of multiple ascending doses of IL33 | Started Q1 2017 | Proof of Concept in COPD | Planned to start H2 2018 |
| Phase 1b in Mild Allergic Asthma Patients (BAC) Studies effects of IL33, dupilumab and combined IL33/dupilumab on inflammatory signature after bronchial allergen challenge (BAC) | Started Q3 2017 | Proof of Concept in Asthma | Planned to start H1 2018 |

KEVZARACE LCM Opportunity in Overlapping Conditions sarilumab with a Strong IL6 Signature

| Giant Cell Arteritis ⁽¹⁾ | Chronic vasculitis of medium and large vessels Occurs in the elderly, mostly women Symptoms: jaw claudication, visual symptoms including blindness, arm claudication IL6 level correlate with severity 50% have PMR-type symptoms | Prevalence: >228K patients in the U.S. ⁽²⁾ ; Prevalence varies across ex-U.S. markets ⁽³⁾ | Objective : Offering IL6 efficacy with less frequent dosing |
|---|--|--|---|
| Polymyalgia Rheumatica ⁽¹⁾ | Inflammatory syndrome in the elderly, mostly women Characterized by symmetrical proximal (shoulder & hip girdle) aching and stiffness IL6 levels correlate with severity 10 to 30% develop GCA within 1 year Corticosteroid are current preferred treatment option | Prevalence : At least 711K patients in the U.S. ⁽²⁾ ; Prevalence varies across ex- U.S. markets ⁽³⁾ | Objective: To become 1 st biologic therapy indicated for PMR |

(1) Potential area for further study



 ⁽¹⁾ Formation and in Formation of the prevalence of arthritis and other rheumatic conditions in the United States: part II. Arthritis Rheum. 2008;58(1):26-35
 (3) Gonzalez-Gay MA, et al, Arthritis Care & Research. Vol. 61, No. 10, October 15, 2009, pp 1454–1461



Frank Nestle Global Head of Immunology & Inflammation Research Therapeutic Area



Building a Competitive Position in Immunology Next wave in Immunology

Sanofi's Vision to Discover Breakthrough **Medicines in Immunology**



Discovering Transformative Immunology Medicines





Targeted Cell Depletion in Dermatology and Type 1 Diabetes



Potential clinical indications: T1D, Vitiligo, Psoriasis



Next Key Master Regulator in Immunology: CD40L



Potential clinical Indications: MS, SLE

Global License Agreement with Principia for Brain-Penetrant BTK Inhibitor

Rationale of BTK Inhibition in MS⁽¹⁾ **B-Cells CNS** Microglia **BCR** activation Immune complex activation Ca2+ B-Cell maturation Microglial activation **Pro-inflammatory** Proliferation (NF-κB) Autoantibody production cvtokine secretion Cytokine Secretion (e.g., TNF α , IL1 β , IL6)

Differentiation of PRN2246 vs Other BTKi and Current High-Efficacy Treatments





The Principia transaction remains subject to customary regulatory approvals and has not yet closed. Under the terms of the agreement Sanofi will develop PRN2246 oral treatment that shows promise in multiple sclerosis (MS) and, potentially, other central nervous system (CNS) disease.

BTK= Bruton's Tyrosine Kinase; BCR= B-Cell Receptor (1) Adapted from Hendriks (2011) Nat. Chem. Biol. 7:4-5.

Multi-Pathway Modulation: Collaboration with Ablynx

Ablynx: A Leading Biologics Platform

- Up to 8 programs focused on immune-mediated inflammatory diseases
- Multiple drug targets in a single molecule
- Proven success:
 - >45 programs
 - >2,000 patients and volunteers treated with Nanobodies[®]

V_{HH} C_H2 C_H3

Heavy-chain only Antibodies Ablynx Nanobody®

- Nano to pico-molar affinities
- Able to bind and block
 challenging targets

V_{нн}

- Multiple administration routes
- Simple to manufacture

Potential Indications: Asthma/COPD, RA, AD, Psoriasis

Deal signed with Ablynx: July 2017



Rand Sutherland Therapeutic Area Head, Rare Disease Development



Sustaining Leadership in Rare Disease

SANOFI GENZYME Over 30 Years of Innovation in Rare Disease



SANOFI Sanofi Genzyme markets Elaprase[®] in JaPac (including Japan, South Korea, Taiwan and Australia)

Rare Disease Planned Development and Regulatory Timelines



Venglustat⁽¹⁾: Oral, Once Daily Inhibitor of GCS with **Potential Across Multiple Rare Diseases**



Venglustat Clinical Development



Venglustat is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority In preclinical studies, venglustat penetrates the blood-brain barrier ERT= Enzyme Replacement Therapies; ADPKD= Autosomal Dominant Polycystic Kidney Disease (1) Also known as SAR402671

The Glycosphingolipid Pathway is at the Heart of Multiple Rare Diseases



SANOFI SCIENCE Venglustat is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority GlcCer= Glucosylceramide; GL3= Glycolipid; GSL= Glycosphingolipids; ADPKD= Autosomal Dominant Polycystic Kidney Disease
Venglustat: Autosomal Dominant Polycystic Kidney Disease (ADPKD)



Registrational Phase 2/3 expected to start in 2018, FDA submission targeted for 2021



Venglustat is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority GSL= Glycosphingolipids; GM3= GM3 ganglioside; BUN= Blood Urea Nitrogen; Kidney/BW= Kidney size to body weight ratio All data from Natoli TA, et al. Nat Med. 2010 Jul;16(7):788-92. (1) p<0.05, in male mice

Venglustat: Glucocerebrosidase-Related Parkinson's Disease

GBA-related Parkinson's Disease



- GBA gene mutations, causative in Gaucher, also associated with Parkinson's Disease
 - Associated with accelerated clinical progression
 - Estimated prevalence of ~50k-100k patients in the U.S.
- GCS inhibition in relevant mouse models(1):
 - Reduced GL-1
 - Reduced membrane-associated α -synuclein in CNS
 - Improved behavioral and cognitive deficits
- Phase 2 ongoing in ~250 patients

Clinical Impact of GBA Mutation



Proteinase K-resistant α -synuclein immunoreactivity



Patisiran⁽¹⁾: RNAi Therapeutic for hATTR Amyloidosis



Estimated 5,000 to 7,000 hATTR patients with polyneuropathy in Sanofi territories



The most commonly reported adverse events (AEs) with patisiran were generally mild to moderate and included peripheral edema and infusion-related reactions (IRRs). The frequency of deaths and serious adverse events (SAEs) was similar in the patisiran and placebo groups.

(1) In collaboration with Alnylam; Sanofi has development and commercialization rights in all territories outside the U.S., Canada and Western Europe.

Fitusiran⁽¹⁾: RNAi Therapeutic for Hemophilia Demonstrated Encouraging Efficacy in Phase 1/2 Study



Estimated ~140,000 treated moderate/severe hemophilia patients in Sanofi territories



Fitusiran⁽¹⁾: ATLAS Phase 3 Development Program



Fitusiran is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority

SANOFI

(1) Preliminary plans subject to further diligence and health authority feedback. Following the completion of the Type A meeting, the FDA will consider removal of the clinical hold upon final review of the amended protocols and other trial materials

Avalglucosidase alfa: Developing a Potentially Superior Drug for Pompe Disease



SANOFI 🎝 🖗

Avalglucosidase alfa is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority Pompe Disease also known as acid α -glucosidase deficiency

y LOPD= Late Onset Pompe Disease; IOPD= Infantile Onset Pompe Disease

Avalglucosidase alfa: U.S. and EU Regulatory Submissions Targeted for Q4 2019

Phase 1/2 Clinical Data





Avalglucosidase alfa is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority Avalglucodisase alfa was well-tolerated, with safety profile similar to Myozyme[®] (1) 1 exploratory efficacy of the novel enzyme replacement therapy neoGAA in treatment-naïve and avalglucosidase alfa-treated late-onset Pompe disease patients: Molecular Genetics and Metabolism 117 (2016) S14–S124 (2) COMET Phase 3(3) Mini-COMET Phase 2

Olipudase alfa: Proof of Concept in ASMD Achieved

Therapeutic Approach

Target the underlying metabolic defect by supplementing the deficient enzyme



Positive Phase 1b Clinical Response⁽¹⁾



24% pulmonary function



23% spleen volume



17% liver volume

Well tolerated with no death or adverse events leading to discontinuation over 30 months

Ongoing ASCEND Clinical Program

- Phase 1/2 in pediatric patients
 - Read-out expected in H2 2019
- Phase 2/3 in adult patients
 - Read-out expected in H2 2019
- Designations received to date:



Orphan Drug Designation Fast Track Breakthrough Therapy







ASMD= Acid Sphingomyelinase Deficiency, also known as Niemann-Pick Disease Type B Olipudase alfa is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority (1) Phase 1b clinical trial in 5 adults patients with chronic visceral ASMD. Dose escalation study (NCT01722526)

Rare Disease Planned Development and Regulatory Timelines



81



Jorge Insuasty Senior Vice President, Global Head of Development



Building a Competitive Position in Oncology

Leverage Proprietary and Collaborative Platforms to Establish Strong Presence in Oncology





Dynamic and Growing Portfolio of Internally Developed and Partnered Assets

2018 Oncology Development Pipeline

| Phase 1 | | Phase 2 | | Pivotal | |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| SAR439859 SERD Metastatic Breast Cancer | SAR440234 T-Cell Engager AML/MDS | SAR566658 Maytansin-loaded anti-CA6 mAb TNBC | isatuximab Anti-CD38 + cemiplimab MM | cemiplimab* Anti-PD-1 mAb Advanced CSCC | isatuximab Anti-CD38 RRMM (ICARIA) |
| SAR439859 SERD + palbociclib Metastatic Breast Cancer | SAR441000 Immuno mRNA** | SAR408701 Anti-CEACAM5 ADC Solid Tumors | isatuximab Anti-CD38 + cemiplimab Solid Tumors | cemiplimab* Anti-PD-1 mAb 1 st line NSCLC | isatuximab Anti-CD38 RRMM (IKEMA) |
| SAR439459 Anti-TGFβ mAb Advanced Solid Tumors | REGN IO mAB T-Cell Engager Ovarian Cancer | REGN3767*** Anti-LAG3 Advanced Cancers | | cemiplimab* Anti-PD-1 mAb 2 nd line Cervical Cancer | isatuximab Anti-CD38 1 st line Ti (IMROZ) |
| SAR439459 Anti-TGFβ + cemiplimab* Solid Tumors | REGN IO mAB Checkpoint Inhibitor Solid Tumors | | | cemiplimab* Anti-PD-1 mAb Advanced BCC | isatuximab Anti-CD38 1 st line Te |
| REGN3767***+ cemiplimab Anti-LAG3 and anti-PD-1 Malignancies | cemiplimab* + DNA vaccine Anti-PD-1 mAb 1 st L GBM* | | | | |
| | cemiplimab* + oncolytic virus Anti-PD-1 mAb / Advanced RCC* | | | | |



SANOFI 🎝

* Partnered with Regeneron ** Partnered with BioNTech *** Opt-in rights products for which rights have not been exercised yet,
 ADC= Antibody Drug Conjugate; AML= Acute Myeloid Leukemia; BCC= Basal Cell Carcinoma; CSCC= Cutaneous Squamous Cell Carcinoma; GBM= glioblastoma multiforme;
 MDS= Myelodysplastic Syndrome; MM= Multiple Myeloma; NSCLC= Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer; RCC= Renal Cell Carcinoma; RRMM= Relapsed Refractory Multiple Myeloma;

SERD= Selective Estrogen Receptor Degrader; TNBC= Triple Negative Breast Cancer; Te= Transplant eligible; Ti= Transplant ineligible,

Sanofi's Strong Commitment to Oncology Expected to Begin to Deliver in 2018



SANOFI 🎝

85

Expected First Submission for Cemiplimab⁽¹⁾ in CSCC, Followed by Other Large or Untapped Opportunities

| | Indication | Pre POC | Pivotal | Submission |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------------------------------|
| CSCC (EMPOWER CSCC 1) | Locally advanced or metastatic CSCC | | | Expected in Q1 2018 in the U.S. |
| BCC (EMPOWER BCC 1) | 2 nd line advanced metastatic BCC | | | , |
| Cervical Cancer (EMPOWER Cervical 1) | Platinum-refractory cervical cancer | | | |
| NSCLC (EMPOWER Lung 1) | 1 st line NSCLC PD-L1 ≥ 50% monotherapy | | | |
| NSCLC (EMPOWER Lung 2) | 1^{st} line NSCLC PD-L1 \geq 50% combinations | | | |
| NSCLC (EMPOWER Lung 3) | 1 st line NSCLC PD-L1 < 50% combinations | | | |



CSCC= Cutaneous Squamous Cell Carcinoma; BCC= Basal Cell Carcinoma; NSCLC= Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Cemiplimab is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority (1) In collaboration with REGN

Cutaneous Squamous Cell Carcinoma (CSCC) is a Disease with Significant Unmet Medical Need





Cemiplimab⁽¹⁾ Phase 1 Expansion Cohort Results Confirm PD-1 as an Important Therapeutic Target in CSCC

- Positive results from the CSCC expansion cohort of first in human study at ASCO 2017
 - 46.2% ORR and 69.2% DCR
 - Generally well tolerated⁽²⁾

SANOF

- Deep and durable tumor reductions in target lesions observed
- Breakthrough Therapy Designation granted from the U.S. FDA





% change in target lesions from baseline

Cemiplimab is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority

 In collaboration with REGN CSCC= Cutaneous Squamous Cell Carcinoma; DCR= Disease Control Rate ; ORR= Objective Response Rate

- (2) The most common treatment-related adverse event of any grade was fatigue (23.1%). All grade 3 or higher adverse events occurred once and included arthralgia (3.8%), maculopapular rash (3.8%), asthenia (3.8%), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) elevation (3.8%) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) elevation (3.8%).
- (3) Data presented at ASCO 2017

Pivotal Results for Cemiplimab⁽¹⁾ in Advanced CSCC Confirm High Response Rate and Durable Responses

- If approved cemiplimab expected to be the first anti-PD-1 indicated for advanced CSCC
- Results from 82 patients in the pivotal Phase 2 trial
 - 46.3% ORR by independent review
 - 33 of 38 responses ongoing (with at least 6 months of follow up)
 - Safety profile generally consistent with approved anti-PD1 drugs
- FDA and EMA submissions planned in Q1 2018



Pivotal Phase 2 Trial

Primary Endpoint: Objective Response Rate Regimen: Cohort 1&2: 3mg/kg cemiplimab every 14 days Cohort 3: 350mg flat dose cemiplimab every 3 weeks



Cemiplimab⁽¹⁾ First-in-Class Opportunity in CSCC, Expansion into Other Untapped Opportunities in IO

2nd Line Advanced Metastatic Basal Cell Carcinoma⁽²⁾

- 28,000 patients diagnosed in U.S. with metastatic BCC
- 3,000 estimated deaths in the U.S. annually

Study expected to complete H2 2018

Platinum-Refractory Cervical Cancer⁽³⁾

- 25,000 patients diagnosed in U.S. and Western EU
- 35% of patients are Stage IV at diagnosis

Study expected to complete H1 2020



In collaboration with Regeneron
 Epidemiological data from Mohan et al Curr Derm Rep 2014;3:40-45
 Epidemiological data from National Cancer Institute
 Cemiplimab is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority

Strong Rationale to Establish Presence in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer with Anti-PD-1

First line NSCLC landscape is evolving Current standard of care unlikely to remain over the next 5-10 years Combination regimens likely to dominate and optimal combinations not clearly identified Current trials provide foundation for testing new combinations • Evaluation of monotherapy, IO/IO, and IO/chemo in Phase 3 trials Developing multiple novel next generation combinations in preclinical through Phase 2 Supports engagement with healthcare practitioners, investigators and payers 3 Most common use for anti-PD-1 antibodies is in NSCLC



Cemiplimab⁽¹⁾ Strategic Development Program in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC)

- Large lung cancer indication continues to be an area of major unmet need
- Phase 3 study in front line NSCLC underway
- Phase 3 studies in first line NSCLC using combinations with chemo and ipilimumab in high and low expressers of PD-L1 are planned
- Second line NSCLC study planned



Primary endpoint PFS Secondary endpoints include OS

Significant Opportunity for Isatuximab in Large and Growing Multiple Myeloma Market

- Worldwide Multiple Myeloma market expected to reach \$29bn in 2022 driven by:
 - Double/triple branded combination use
 - New options with prolonged PFS benefit
 - Globally ~114k new cases diagnosed annually
- Anti-CD38 class rapidly becoming standard of care
 - Combinability without increased toxicity
 - Unprecedented PFS prolongation





Isatuximab Demonstrated Competitive Profile in Phase 1b

- Targets unique epitope with distinct combination MoA⁽¹⁾
- Competitive administration profile
 - ~3h for initial infusion
 - 2.5h for subsequent infusions
- Broad development program in Multiple Myeloma with >12 clinical trials ongoing
- Potential benefit in solid tumors being explored

Isatuximab combination with PomDex Relapse Refractory Multiple Myeloma⁽²⁾



Isatuximab combination with PomDex ORR 61% versus 31% PomDex alone



ASCT= Autologous Stem Cell Transplant; CyBorD= cyclophosphamide, bortezomib, and dexamethasone; PomDex= pomalidomide and dexamethasone; ORR= Objective Response Rate Isatuximab is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority (1) HDeckert, et al. Clin Cancer Res 2014:20:4574–83

2) Richardson PG, et al. Poster presented at American Society of Hematology; 2017 Dec 9-12th

Four Large Phase 3 Trials with Isatuximab Address Multiple Myeloma Along the Treatment Continuum

- Two trials in first line setting with "Gold Standard" backbone therapy, VRd
 - GMMG: transplant eligible patients
 - IMROZ: transplant ineligible patients
- IKEMA trial in RRMM patients previously treated with 1-3 lines of therapy
- ICARIA pivotal data to potentially provide entry to market RRMM
- Minimal residual disease (MRD) assessments linked to PFS endpoint
- IMROZ, IKEMA, and ICARIA in progress





PFS= Progression Free Survival; DoT= duration of treatment; SCT= Stem Cell Transplant; MM= Multiple Myeloma; RR= Relapsed Refractory; ND= Newly Diagnosed; VRd= Velcade, Revlimid, dexamethasone; Te= Transplant eligible, GMMG= German Multiple Myeloma Group Isatuximab is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority

Initiating PoC Trials with Isatuximab and Checkpoint Inhibitors in Combinations for 9 Indications



First Expected FDA Submission for Isatuximab Based on ICARIA Data in 2018, Ahead of Data Readouts in 2L and 1L



SANOFI 🎝 🖞

1L= first line; Te= transplant eligible; GMMG= German Multiple Myeloma Group Isatuximab is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority

Leverage Entry in RRMM to Expand Use of Isatuximab in Earlier Lines of Therapy and Other Cancer Types

Gain Market Entry

Initial submission planned in Relapsed Refractory Multiple Myeloma

Expand in Multiple Myeloma

Utilization in 1L and 2L along treatment continuum of Multiple Myeloma

Combination Use in Solid Tumors

Further enhance response to immunooncology agents Building Hematology Portfolio

3 pre-clinical assets

- Next generation CD38 mAB
- REGN bispecific
- Multispecific
 T-cell engager



Selective Estrogen Receptor Degrader Demonstrates Strong Tumor Response in Preclinical Models

- Key differentiating factors vs. current treatment option
 - Highly potent against mutant and wild-type ER
 - Activity across all BC cell lines
 - No estrogenic activity on uterine tissue in-vivo
 - Strong anti-tumor activity (regression) in BC models
 - Oral dosing vs infusion
- Trial in ER+ mBC began enrollment November 2017
 - Using FES-PET imaging to demonstrate target engagement
 - · Evaluating as monotherapy and in combination with palbociclib

Pre-Clinical Efficacy in MCF7 Model of Breast Cancer



Potential Proof of Concept Study Readout in 2018



Yong-Jun Liu Senior Vice President Global Head of Research



Building a Competitive Position in Oncology

Next wave in Oncology

Our Immuno-Oncology Competitive Strategy





Global Immuno-Oncology Collaboration with Regeneron to Develop and Commercialize Antibody Cancer Treatments

Checkpoint-centric approach with an extension to bispecific antibodies

| - | | Phase 1 | | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| Checkpoint 🞈 inhibitors | | PD-1 (clinic) LAG3 (clinic) | REGN IO Ab Checkpoint inhibitor Solid Tumors ⁽²⁾ | REGN3767* Anti-LAG3 Advanced Cancers | R cemiplimab PD-1 inhibitor Advanced CSCC | cemiplimab PD-1 inhibitor 1st line NSCLC |
| | \mathbf{S} | Several in pre- clinical development | | | R cemiplimab PD-1 inhibitor Advanced BCC | cemiplimab PD-1 inhibitor 2 nd line Cervical Cancer |
| Combination with checkpoint | • • | PD-1 + LAG-3 (clinic) PD-1 + CD38⁽¹⁾ (clinic) PD-1 + TGFβ⁽¹⁾ (clinic) | cemiplimab + DNA vaccine Anti-PD-1 mAb 1 L GBM ⁽²⁾ | cemiplimab + REGN3767* anti PD-1 + LAG3 Advanced Cancers | isatuximab + cemiplimab CD38 and PD-1 inhibitors Solid Tumors ⁽³⁾ | |
| | | | cemiplimab + oncolytic virus Anti-PD-1 mAb Advanced RCC ⁽²⁾ | isatuximab + cemiplimab CD38 and PD-1 inhibitors RRMM | | |
| Inhibitors | nhibitors 🔍 | | cemiplimab + SAR439459 anti- PD-1 + anti-TGFβ Advanced Solid Tumors | | | |
| Bispecific antibodies | | Several in pre- clinical development | REGN IO Ab T-Cell Engager Ovarian Cancer ⁽²⁾ | | | |



Current Status as of Dec ember 13 2017. IO Discovery and Development Agreement with Regeneron signed in July 2015; agreement duration 5 years, subject to Tail Period Option (1) Sanofi only molecule

* Opt-in rights products for which rights have not been exercised yet

(2) Entering Phase 1 expected in Q1 2018; R- Registration Study

(3) Entering Phase 2 expected in 2018

Overcoming anti-PD-1 Resistance by Blocking TGF- β



Sanofi Internal Results

- TGFß activation correlates with anti-PD-1 resistance
- TGFß-mediated immune suppression in melanoma may contribute to anti-PD-1 resistance
- Gateway indication: combination of anti-TGFß and anti-PD-1 to overcome innate resistance

Overcoming anti-PD-1 Resistance by Blocking TGF-β: *in vivo* Proof of Concept



Status: Phase 1 Anti-TGF-β + cemiplimab

Indication: Advanced Solid Tumors



MC38 syngeneic colon s.c. model in C57BL/6 mice; 8 administrations IP every 3 days beginning <100mm3 Anti-TGF-B, SAR439459 (25 mg/kg), RMP1-14 anti-PD-1 (5 mg/kg)

Isatuximab Targets CD38: A Second Checkpoint Inhibitor

Anti-PD-1 *in vivo* resistance via CD38 upregulation on tumor cells is reversed by anti-CD38/anti-PD-1 combination⁽¹⁾



Status: Phase 1 expected to start in Solid Tumors in Q1 2018



Future Pipeline: Turning Cold Tumor to Hot Tumor



FIH expected in 2018

FIH expected in 2018

SANOFI V

PRR= Pattern Recognition Receptor; NK= Natural Killer; M0= Target validation; FIH= First in Human "Cold" to "Hot": Anti-PD-1/PD-L1 agents are believed to primarily work on T-cell inflamed tumors. In most patients, their tumors have a non T-cell inflamed microenvironment. In order to drive responsiveness to immune therapy based agents, there is a need to identify and develop agents that can bring T-cells to tumors.

Systemic Anti-Tumor Immunity After mRNA Treatment

Intratumoral injection of immuno mRNA reduces tumors at distant non-injected sites



Status: Phase 1 entry expected in 2018





Sanofi is Building a Robust IO Discovery Pipeline

- Building a portfolio of internal and partnered assets
- Supported by a talented team with expertise in translational and precision medicine
- 6 pre-clinical programs expected to enter the clinic in 2018

| Expected FIH Projection | 2018 2019 2020 |
|----------------------------|--|
| mRNA (BioNTech) | Immuno mRNA Up to 4 additional Immuno mRNAs Mix 1 |
| CD38 | 1-2 CD38 mAb 2 nd generation |
| Immune-cell | T-Cell Engager ⁽¹⁾ REGN Bispecific ⁽¹⁾ Ovarian Cancer Multiple Myeloma |
| Engagers | T-Cell Engager NK Cell AML/MDS Engager |
| Multi-specific Ab | 1-2 Multi-Targeting Abs ⁽¹⁾ |
| ADC | 1 mAb Toxin |
| | REGN IO Ab ⁽¹⁾ Solid Tumors |
| CheckPoint Inhibitors | cemiplimab ⁽¹⁾ + DNA vaccine ⁽¹⁾ 1L GBM |
| | cemiplimab ⁽¹⁾ + oncolytic virus ⁽¹⁾ Advanced RCC |




Stefan Oelrich Executive Vice President Diabetes & Cardiovascular



Sustaining Leadership in DCV

DCV Strategy Will Focus on Innovation While Protecting our Core Business







Klaus Henning Jensen Therapeutic Area Head, Diabetes Development



Sustaining Leadership in DCV Diabetes

Broadening our Innovative DCV Portfolio



Cardiovascular

Diabetes & metabolism



Sanofi Diabetes R&D Strategy Focuses on Type 1 & 2 Diabetes, Obesity and NASH





Novel Peptide Platform to Potentially Result in Innovative Diabetes, Obesity and NASH Therapies



Dual and Triple Agonist adding Pharmacology of GIP and/or Glucagon



Holst J.J. et al., Trends Mol Med, 2008; Murphy K.G. & Bloom S.R., Nature, 2006; Sadry S.A. & Drucker D., Nat. Rev. Endocrinol, 2013 GLP-1= Glucagon-Like Peptide-1; GIP= Gastric inhibitory polypeptide; GCG= Glucagon (1) Collaboration with Hanmi

Obesity Is a Medical Challenge and the Source of Substantial Morbidity and Mortality

Obesity: a major driver of subsequent disease

120 million quality adjusted life-years lost to disability/year⁽¹⁾





Our development options might bring advantages closer with bypass by inducing 10-15% weight loss

| | Relative risk reduction ⁽³⁾ | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|
| Death | 40% | | |
| Diabetes | 92% | | |
| CV disease | 49% | | |
| CAD | 59% | | |
| Stroke | 57% | | |
| Heart failure | 41% | | |
| Cancer | 60% | | |

Relative risk reduction of common obesity-related diseases 7 years after bariatric surgery⁽³⁾



GBD 2015 Obesity Collaborators; NEJM2017; 377:13–27
 Schauer et al. NEJM 376:641-51, 2017
 Adams et al., 2007 NEJM 357:753-761; Mingrone et al. NEJM 2012; 366:1577-85

Dual Agonist⁽¹⁾ Shows Significant Body Weight Reduction in Overweight/Obese Diabetic Patients

Change in Body Weight from Baseline





SAR425899 is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority. Adverse events observed most frequently were related to GI disorders
 Phase 1 Results; 4-week study in overweight to obese T2DM, 2-step up-titration after 7 days - Lindauer K et al, Oral presentation #109, European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) 52nd Annual Meeting, September 14, 2016, Munich, Germany; BMI at baseline: 32 kg/m3
 Newk et al. Diabetes can all the study of Diabetes (EASD) 52nd Annual Meeting. 2016; Munich, Germany; BMI at baseline: 32 kg/m3

(3) Nauck et al. Diabetes Care 2016; BMI at baseline: ~31 kg/m³

Dual Agonist⁽¹⁾ Large Development Program in Obesity and NASH Expected to Start in 2018





 PoC= Proof of Concept; CVOT= Cardiovascular Outcome Trial
 (1) SAR425899 is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority. Adverse events observed most frequently were related to GI disorders (2) Excluding T2DM(3) 52 weeks safety extension

Sotagliflozin⁽¹⁾: First Investigational Dual SGLT-1 and SGLT-2 Inhibitor in T1D and T2D^(2,3)

Inhibition of SGLT-1 and SGLT-2 Pathways



SGLT-2 inhibition in the kidney⁽⁴⁾ increases glucose excretion in the urine

- Reduced levels of blood glucose
- Mechanism is independent of insulin but diminishes in effect with declining renal function

SGLT-1 inhibition in the GI tract⁽⁵⁾ reduces post-prandial glucose and elevates GI hormones⁶⁵⁾

- Metabolic benefits
- Mechanism is independent of insulin and is not affected by declining renal function



(1) Sotagliflozin is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority. The full risk/benefit assessment with regulators is pending. Sotagliflozin was generally well tolerated.

Sands AT, et al, Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2015;38:1181-88;

(3) Zambrowicz B, et al. Clinical Therapeutics 2015;37:71-82.e12;

⁽⁴⁾ Hummel CS, et al. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2011;300:C14-C21;

⁽⁵⁾ Wright EM, Loo DD, Hirayama BA. Physiol Rev 2011;91:733-94

⁽⁶⁾ GLP-1 and PYY

Sotagliflozin is Potentially Differentiated vs. SGLT-2 Inhibitors in Type 2 Diabetes

Additional HbA_{1c} lowering without further increase in urinary glucose excretion



Sotagliflozin is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority. The full risk/benefit assessment with regulators is pending. Sotagliflozin was generally well tolerated.

(1) Phase 2 data - Rosenstock J, et al. Diabetes Care. 2015;38:431-438.

(2) Change in Urinary Glucose Excretion measured at week 12

SANOF

Sotagliflozin: Impact on Post Prandial Glucose (PPG) in Type 2 Diabetes



Data from Study 107 in Type 2 Diabetes patients with Chronic Kidney Disease

Sotagliflozin is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority. The full risk/benefit assessment with regulators is pending. Sotagliflozin was
generally well tolerated.



(2) Phase 2 study 107 T2DM with CKD

Plasma glucose after standard meal p=0.003, sotagliflozin vs. placebo

Sotagliflozin⁽¹⁾ Demonstrated Significant HbA1c Reduction when Added to Insulin in Type 1 Diabetes Patients



Phase 3 Clinical Trials in Type 1 Diabetes Patients

(1) Sotagliflozin is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority. The full risk/benefit assessment with regulators is pending. Sotagliflozin was generally well tolerated.



- (2) Buse J et al, Presentation 69-OR at American Diabetes Association 77th Scientific Sessions (ADA 2017), San Diego, CA, US.
- (3) Danne T et al, Presentation 146-LB at ADA 2017, San Diego, CA, US.

(4) Garg S et al, New England Journal of Medicine, Sept 2017b

Sotagliflozin⁽¹⁾: A Potentially Differentiated Value Proposition in Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes





T1D= Type 1 Diabetes; PPG= Post-Prandial Glucose

(1) Sotagliflozin is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority. The full risk/benefit assessment with regulators is pending. Sotagliflozin was generally well tolerated.

Broad Phase 3 Program Underway in Type 2 Diabetes for Sotagliflozin⁽¹⁾, Including CKD Focus



CKD= Chronic Kidney Disease; HF= Heart Failure (1) Sotagliflozin is investigational. The full risk/benefit assessment with regulators is pending. Sotagliflozin was generally well tolerated.

Efpeglenatide^(1,2): A New Weekly GLP-1 Agonist

Once weekly GLP-1R agonist based on Hanmi Pharmaceuticals strong proprietary technology



Phase 3 in Type 2 Diabetes started in Q4 2017 to confirm expected target profile:

Significant HbA_{1c} lowering

Weight loss and favorable GI tolerability

Convenient device platform



Collaboration with Hanmi, efpeglenatide is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority.
 The efficacy and safety of efpeglenatide will be investigated in a Phase 3 program

Efpeglenatide⁽¹⁾ Data and Modelling Suggest Strong HbA1c Reduction Potential





Efpeglenatide is an investigational agent and has not been evaluated by any regulatory authority. The efficacy and safety of efpeglenatide will be investigated in a Phase 3 program Yoon K-H et al, Abstract 793, European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) 51st Annual Meeting, September 2015, Stockholm, Sweden.

Efpeglenatide Phase 3 Program Initiated







Jay Edelberg Vice President, Global Cardiovascular Development



Sustaining Leadership in DCV Cardiovascular

ODYSSEY Outcomes Study Topline Results Expected in Q1 2018



SANOFI 🌍

MyoKardia's Collaboration Represents One of the Largest R&D Commitments to Genetic Forms of Cardiomyopathy





Mavacamten: Positive Results from Phase 2a PIONEER Cohort A⁽¹⁾ Study in Symptomatic oHCM

DIONEED LION Churcher in Comparison of a

| | PIONEER-IICM Study in Symptomatic OIICM | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------|--|
| | | Baseline, mean (SD) n=11 | Week 12, mean (SD) n=10 | Change from Baseline to week 12, mean (SD) n=10 | p-value | |
| Primary endpoint met | Post-exercise peak LVOT gradient, mmHg | 125 (60.0) | 19 (12.9) | -112 (63.8) | 0.002 | |
| Key secondary endpoints met, incl. peak VO ₂ | Peak VO ₂ , mL/kg/min | 20.7 ±7.4 | 24.6 ±8.8 | +3.5 (3.3) | 0.004 | |
| Change in NT-proBNP | pg/mL | 929 (647) | 454 (551) | -459 (722) | 0.08 | |

- PIONEER-HCM study in symptomatic oHCM
 - Generally well tolerated (one patient experienced a serious adverse event due to a recurrence of atrial fibrillation)
 - Orphan Drug designation granted for symptomatic oHCM in 2016
- Second low-dose cohort in PIONEER-HCM ongoing
- Expected transition to Phase 2b/3 in 2018



Study 003

Study 003: Multiple Ascending Dose (MAD) Trial in Healthy Volunteers





David Loew Executive Vice President, Sanofi Pasteur



Sustaining Leadership in Vaccines

Vaccines: An Attractive Business with Major Opportunities





Vaccines R&D Strategy: Aim to Deliver High Value Products



- Influenza
- RSV infants & elderly

- Flublok[®] / Protein Sciences
- RSV mAb / MedImmune
- RSV infant Vaccine / NIH

- Adjuvants

Flu, RSV and Meningitis Vaccines: Key Innovative Areas for Sanofi Pasteur







John Shiver Senior Vice President, Vaccines R&D



Sustaining Leadership in Vaccines

Burden of Influenza is Underestimated, Resulting in Suboptimal Vaccine Coverage Rates

Flu burden is greater than every other vaccine preventable disease

U.S. Annual costs of four major vaccine-preventable diseases in 50+



But too often considered as a mild illness





Sanofi Pasteur Focuses Where the Disease Burden Is the Highest

Cumulative Flu Related Hospitalization Rate⁽¹⁾



Protein Sciences Broadens Our Leading Flu Vaccines Portfolio With Flublok^{®(1)}

Flublok[®] differentiated with greater efficacy in adults 50 years and older

Cumulative confirmed Flu cases^(2,3)

Growth driven by product differentiation





The Only FDA approved recombinant protein-based influenza vaccine approved for all adults 18 and older
 Source: Full prescribing information

(3) http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1608862

Meningococcal Disease Has a Low Incidence Rate with High Fatality and Devastating Consequences

Meningococcal Disease



- Unpredictable and affects
 previously healthy individuals
- Difficult to diagnose early and rapidly progressive
- Potentially fatal, with devastating consequences in 20% of survivors

Impact and Incidence of Vaccine-Preventable Diseases



Sanofi Pasteur Is the Leader with 63% MS⁽¹⁾ in Quad ACWY Meninge Vaccines Market thanks to Menactra[®]





Moving From Menactra® to MenQuadTT





MenQuadTT: Phase 3 Program in All Age Groups Ongoing



RSV: The Most Common Cause of LRTI in Infants Worldwide



Circulates seasonally like influenza virus

Around 30 million children affected per year

Infants and young children most at risk

Primary infection tends to cause the most severe respiratory infections

No vaccine nor broadly effective antiviral drug or prophylactic drug available for all infants



Rate of RSV Hospitalization Is the Highest in Young Infants



Most hospitalizations occur during the infant's first RSV season


RSV mAb⁽¹⁾ Provides Best Approach for Young Infants



Phase 1b/2a First-Time-in-Infant Study in Healthy Preterm Infants



RSV mAb⁽¹⁾ Is a Unique Opportunity for All Infants Entering their First RSV Season

- Solid preliminary Phase 1b/2a Results
- First mAb to market for all infants
- Targeted population: Infants entering their first RSV season



- Phase 2b started in Q4 2016
 - Results expected in H2 2018
- FDA fast track designation granted in 2015

SANOFI 🧊 (1) Collaboration with Medimmune



Elias Zerhouni President, Global R&D



Closing Remarks



Clinical Trials Appendix



R&D Pipeline – New Molecular Entities^(*)



- (1) Regeneron product for which Sanofi has opt-in right
- (2) Alnylam product for which Sanofi has opt-in right
- (3) Also known as MYK491
- (4) Also known as SAR439684 and REGN2810
- (5) Also known as Niemann Pick type B
- (6) Regulus product for which Sanofi has opt-in right

- (7) Also known as SAR439152 and as MYK461
- (8) Also known as MEDI8897
- (9) Currently on clinical hold pending outcome of FDA discussion Expected to resume around year-end
- (*) Data related to all studies published in clinicaltrials.gov
- (**) Partnered and/or in collaboration Sanofi may have limited or shared rights on some of these products

Registration Study

Oncology

Rare Disease

- ο Opt-in rights products for which rights have not been exercised yet
 - Immuno-inflammation **Diabetes Solutions**
 - Cardiovascular & metabolism
 - Infectious Diseases Vaccines
 - MS. Neuro, Gene therapy

150



Additional Indications(*)

| Phase 1 (Total:5) | Pha (To | ase 2 tal:11) | Pha (Tot | Registration | |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| isatuximab + cemiplimab ^{(1)(**)} Anti-CD38 mAb + PD1 inhibitor mAb Relapsing Refractory Multiple Myeloma | dupilumab^(**) Anti-IL4Ra mAb Eosinophilic Esophagitis | sotagliflozin ^(**) (SAR439954) SGLT 1 & 2 inhibitor – WHF in Diabetes | dupilumab^(**) Anti-IL4Rα mAb Asthma 6 - 11 years old | R isatuximab Anti-CD38 1 st line Ti (IMROZ) | VaxiGrip® QIV IM Quadrivalent inactivated Influenza vaccine (6-35 months) |
| isatuximab Anti-CD38 mAb + CyBord ⁽²⁾ Newly Diagnosed Multiple Myeloma | sarilumab^(**) Anti-IL6R mAb Polyarticular Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis | mavacamten ^{(4)(**)} Myosin inhibitor Non-Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy | dupilumab^(**) Anti-IL4Rα mAb Asthma 12y+ | Relapsing Refractory Multiple Myeloma (IKEMA) | PR5i DTP-HepB-Polio-Hib Pediatric hexavalent vaccines (U.S.) |
| SAR439459 + cemiplimab ^{(1)(**)} Anti-TGFβ mAb + PD1 inhibitor mAb Advanced Solid Tumors | sarilumab(**) Anti-IL6R mAb Systemic Juvenile Arthritis | Rabies VRVg Purified vero rabies vaccine | dupilumab^(**) Anti-IL4Rα mAb Nasal Polyposis | Aubagio® teriflunomide Relapsing Multiple Sclerosis - Pediatrics | |
| SAR439859 SERD + Palbociclib Metastatic Breast Cancer | R cemiplimab ^{(1)(**)} PD-1 inhibitor mAb Advanced Basal Cell Carcinoma | Adacel+ Tdap booster | Dupixent ^{®(**)} Anti-IL4Rα mAb Atopic Dermatitis 12 – 17 years old | sotagliflozin(**) Oral SGLT-1&2 inhibitor Type 2 Diabetes | |
| O cemiplimab ^{(1)(*)} + REGN3767 ⁽³⁾ PD-1 inhibitor mAb + anti LAG-3 mAb Advanced Cancers | venglustat Oral GCS inhibitor Gaucher Disease Type 3 | Shan 6 DTP-HepB-Polio-Hib Pediatric hexavalent vaccine | Dupixent ®(**) Anti-IL4Rα mAb Atopic Dermatitis 6 – 11 years old | Praluent®(**) Anti-PCSK9 mAb CV events reduction | |
| | venglustat Oral GCS inhibitor Fabry Disease | | Dupixent ®(**) Anti-IL4Ra mAb Atopic Dermatitis 6 months - 5 years old | Fluzone® QIV HD Quadrivalent inactivated Influenza vaccine - High dose | |
| | | | R cemiplimab ^{(1)(**)} PD-1 inhibitor mAb 2 nd line Cervical Cancer | Men Quad TT Advanced generation meningococcal ACYW conjugate vaccine | |
| | | | R cemiplimab ^{(1)(**)} PD-1 inhibitor mAb 1 st line NSCLC | Pediatric pentavalent vaccine DTP-Polio-Hib Japan | |
| | | | | R Registration Study | |
| | | | | Opt-in rights products for which | h rights have not been exercised yet |
| | | | | Immuno-inflammation | Diabetes Solutions |
| (1) Also know (2) Cyclophos | m as SAR439684 and REGN2810 smamide + bortezomib (Velcade) + dexamethasone | 9 | | Oncology | Cardiovascular & metabolism |
| (3) Regeneror (4) Also know | n product for which Sanofi has opt-in right m as SAR439152 and as MYK461 | | | MS, Neuro, Gene therapy | Infectious Diseases |
| SANOH (**) Data relation | ed to all studies published in clinicaltrials.gov and/or in collaboration - Sanofi may have limited or | r shared rights on some of these products | | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 15 |

Expected Submission Timeline⁽¹⁾



Pipeline Movements Since Q3 2017





R&D Pipeline Summary – Total Projects⁽¹⁾

| | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Registration | TOTAL | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--------------|-------|---------------------|
| Immuno-inflammation | 2 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 13 | |
| Oncology | 9 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 17 | |
| Rare Diseases | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 9 | |
| Multiple Sclerosis, Neurology, Gene therapy | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 58 |
| Diabetes | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 6 | |
| Cardiovascular Diseases | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 7 | |
| Infectious Diseases | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Vaccines | 2 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 13 | 13 |
| TOTAL | 20 | 26 | 23 | 2 | 74 | - Intel Breinete |
| | 4 | 6 | | 25 | | otal Projects |



List of abbreviations

| AE | Adverse Events | IGA | Investigator's Global Assessment | QOL | Quality Of Life |
|------|--------------------------------|------|----------------------------------|--------|--|
| APO | Apolipoprotein | IMID | Immunomodulatory Drug | RECIST | Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors |
| BOR | Best Overall Response | ITT | Intent To Treat | SAE | Serious Adverse Events |
| СВ | Clinical Benefit | LP | Lipoprotein | SDMT | Symbol Digit Modalities Test |
| CNS | Central Nervous System | MRI | Magnetic Resonance Imaging | SMPG | Self Monitored Plasma Glucose |
| CR | Complete Response | MTD | Maximum Tolerated Dose | SSD | Study Start Date |
| CRR | Complete Response Rate | Ν | Number | тс | Total Cholesterol |
| СТ | Computed Tomography | NC | Nasal Congestion/obstruction | TEAE | Treatment Emergent Adverse Events |
| C۷ | Cardiovascular | NNT | Number Needed to Treat | TSS | Total Symptom Score |
| DE | Data Expected | OS | Overall Survival | TG | Triglycerides |
| DCR | Disease Control Rate | ORR | Overall Response Rate | TTP | Time To Progression |
| DLT | Dose-Limiting Toxicity | PD | Pharmacodynamic | TTR | Time To Response |
| DOD | Duration Of Disease | PI | Proteasome Inhibitor | ТΧ | Treatment |
| DOR | Duration Of Response | PFS | Progression-Free Survival | VGPR | Very Good Partial Response |
| EASI | Eczema Area and Severity Index | РК | Pharmacokinetic | | |
| FPC | Fasting Plasma Glucose | PPG | Postprandial Glucose | | |
| IAE | Incidence of Adverse Events | PRO | Patient Reported Outcome | | |
| IAR | Infusion Associated Reaction | QNW | Every N Weeks | | |
| IC | Investigator's Choice | QNM | Every N Months | | |



| Dupilumab (anti-IL4Rα mAb) | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Δ sthma 1/3 | |
| | |

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|-------------------|---|---|--|
| LIBERTY ASTHMA TRAVERSE LTS12551 NCT02134028 | Phase 2/3 Open label extension study long-term safety & tolerability evaluation in patients with asthma who participated in previous studies | 2,287 expected | For patients coming from DRI12544, PDY14192, EFC13579, EFC13691 studies: dupilumab loading dose sc on Day 1, followed by 1x dose Q2W added to current controller medications Open-label, max. 3 weeks screening and 108 weeks Tx | Primary: N and % of patients experiencing any TEAE Secondary: Safety | SSD: Jul. 2014 DE: 2019 |



| Immuno-inflammation | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|--|----------|---|--|--|
| EXPEDITION ASTHMA PDY14192 NCT02573233 | Phase 2a Evaluation of dupilumab's effects on airway inflammation in patients with asthma | 42 | Randomized, double-blind, parallel, placebo-controlled Study, 5 to 6 weeks screening, 12 weeks Tx, 12 weeks post Tx | Primary: Change from baseline in N of inflammatory cells and in mucin-stained area in the bronchial submucosa per mm² Secondary: Safety, Tolerability, Immunogenicity of dupilumab compared to placebo | SSD: Jan. 2016 DE: 2018 |



Dupilumab Asthma 2/3

Dupilumab (anti-IL4Rα mAb) Asthma 3/3

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|--|----------|---|--|--|
| CHILDREN ASTHMA VOYAGE EFC14153 NCT02948959 | Phase 3 Evaluation of dupilumab in children (6 to <12 years) with uncontrolled asthma | 294 | In children 6 to <12 years of age with uncontrolled persistent asthma Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo- controlled, parallel group 52 weeks Tx, 12 weeks post Tx | Primary: Annualized rate of severe exacerbation events during Tx period Secondary: Safety and tolerability, PROs, Systemic exposure and incidence of anti- drug antibodies, Association between dupilumab Tx and pediatric immune responses to vaccines | SSD: Jun. 2017 DE: 2021 |

Dupilumab (anti-IL4Rα mAb) Atopic Dermatitis (AD)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|-----------------|---|--|--|
| OLE Pediatrics AD R668-AD-Reg 1434 NCT02612454 | Phase 3 A study to assess the long-term safety of dupilumab administered in patients 6 to <18 years of age with AD | 765 expected | For patients having participated in a prior dupilumab study in pediatrics with AD Non-Randomized, Parallel Assignment, Open label extension study | Primary: Incidence and rate of TEAEs Secondary: SAEs and AEs of special interest, % of patients who achieve and maintain remission, EASI-75: % of patients achieving and maintaining at least 75% reduction in EASI score over time, EASI-50: % of patients achieving and maintaining at least 50% reduction in EASI scores over time | SSD: Oct. 2015 DE: 2018 |
| Pediatrics (12 to 17 years) AD R668-AD-Reg 1526 NCT03054428 | Phase 3 A study to investigate the efficacy and safety of dupilumab monotherapy in patients 12 to 17 years of age, with moderate- to-severe AD | 240 | Pediatric patients (12 to 17 years old) with moderate-to-severe AD A randomized, double-blind, placebo- controlled, 3-arm: dupilumab dose 1, dupilumab dose 2, placebo | Primary: % of patients with IGA 0 to 1 (on a 5-point scale), % of patients with EASI-75 Secondary: % change in EASI score | SSD: Apr. 2017 DE: 2018 |



Dupilumab (anti-IL4Rα mAb)Immuno-inflammationAtopic Dermatitis (AD)Rare DiseasesMS, Neuro, Gene therapy

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|--|---|--|
| LIBERTY AD PRESCHOOL NTC03346434 | Phase 2/3 Safety, Pharmacokinetics and Efficacy of Dupilumab in Patients ≥6 Months to <6 Years With Severe Atopic Dermatitis | 280 | Part A: Open-label, single-ascending dose, sequential cohort phase 2 study Part B: Randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled phase 3 study | Primary: PK, TEAEs, SAEs Secondary: SEAs, TEAEs, % chanhe in EASI score, Change in children's Dermatology Quality of Life Index | SSD: Dec. 2017 DE: 2022 |
| AD in 6 - 11 Years Old NCT03345914 | Phase 3 Efficacy and safety of Dupilumab administered with Topical Corticosteroids in participants ≥6 to <12 years with Severe Atopic Dermatitis | 240 | Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo- controlled Study | Primary: Proportion of patients with Investigator's Global Assessment "0" or "1" (on a 5- point scale) at week 16 Secondary: Change from baseline to week 16 in Children's Dermatology Life Quality Index, Percent change in EASI score from baseline to week 16, Incidence of serious TEAEs through week 16 | SSD: Dec. 2017 DE: 2019 |



Dupilumab (anti-IL4Rα mAb) Nasal Polyposis (NP)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|--|
| NP SINUS-24 EFC14146 NCT02912468 | Phase 3 Evaluation of dupilumab in patients with bilateral NP on a background of mometasone furoate nasal spray | 276 finally included | Patients with bilateral sinonasal polyposis that despite prior Tx with systemic corticosteroids have an endoscopic bilateral NPS with a score at least of 5 over 8 Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, 4 weeks run-in, 24 weeks Tx, 24 weeks post-Tx | Primary: NC symptom severity score based on the patient daily morning assessment & by endoscopy, Sinus opacifications as assessed by CT Secondary: TSS, Loss of smell, Sinus opacification | SSD: Dec. 2016 DE: 2018 |
| LIBERTY NP SINUS-52 EFC14280 NCT02898454 | Phase 3 Evaluation of dupilumab in patients with bilateral NP on a background of mometasone furoate nasal spray | 448 finally included | Patients with bilateral sinonasal polyposis that despite prior Tx with systemic corticosteroids have an endoscopic bilateral NPS with a score at least of 5 over 8 Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, 4 weeks run-in, 52 weeks Tx, 12 weeks post-Tx, 3-arm, dupilumab dose regimen 1, dupilumab dose regimen 2, placebo | Primary: NC symptom severity score based on the patient daily morning assessment & by endoscopy, Sinus opacifications as assessed by CT Secondary: TSS, Loss of smell, Sinus opacification | SSD: Dec. 2016 DE: 2018 |



Sarilumab (anti-IL6 mAb) Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|--|----------|---|---|--|
| SARIL-RA- EXTEND LTS11210 NCT01146652 | Phase 3 Long-term evaluation of sarilumab in RA patients | 2000 | In patients with RA having participated to previous trials Multi-center, uncontrolled extension, open-label; up to 1 week screening, at least 264 weeks of Tx to 516 weeks max., 6 weeks post-Tx | Primary: N of patients with AE Secondary: Long term efficacy of sarilumab in patients with RA (ACR20, DAS28, EULAR response) | SSD: Jun. 2010 DE: 2020 |



Sarilumab (anti-IL6 mAb) Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis (JIA)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|--|----------|---|--|---|
| Polyarticular JIA Children & Adolescents DRI13925 NCT02776735 | Phase 2b Dose-finding study of sarilumab in children and adolescents with Polyarticular-course Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis (pcJIA) | 36 | In children and adolescents, Aged 2 to 17 years, with pcJIA Open-label, sequential, ascending, repeated dose-finding Study; 4-week screening, 12-week core Tx, 92-week extension, 6-week post-Tx | Primary: PK parameters (Up to week 12) Secondary: PD profile, The efficacy and the safety of sarilumab in patients with pcJIA, Long-term safety of sarilumab in patients with pcJIA | SSD: Sep. 2016 DE: 2018 |
| Systemic JIA Children & Adolescents DRI13926 NCT02991469 | Phase 2b Dose-finding study of sarilumab in children and adolescents with Systemic Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis (sJIA) | 36 | In children and adolescents, aged 1 to 17 years, with sJIA Open-label, sequential, ascending, repeated dose finding study, 4-week screening, 12-week Tx, 92- week extension, 6-week post-Tx | Primary: PK parameters (Up to week 12) Secondary: PD profile, The efficacy and the safety of sarilumab in patients with sJIA, Long term safety of sarilumab in patients with sJIA | SSD: Dec. 2017 DE (1st part)⁽¹⁾: 2018 |



SAR156597 (anti-IL13/IL4 mAb) Scleroderma

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|---|--|--|
| POC in Scleroderma ACT14604 NCT02921971 | Phase 2a Efficacy and safety of SAR156597 in the Tx of Diffuse Cutaneous Systemic Sclerosis (dcSSc) | 94 | Randomized, double-blind, Parallel Assignment, placebo-controlled, 4-week screening, 24-week Tx period, 11-week follow-up | Primary: Change from baseline in mRSS Secondary: Change from baseline in Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index (HAQ-DI), assessed with SHAQ, Change from baseline in respiratory function as measured by observed Forced Vital Capacity Change from baseline in observed Carbon Monoxide Diffusing Lung Capacity (DLco [corrected for hemoglobin]) | SSD: Dec. 2016 DE (1st part) ⁽¹⁾: 2018 |



SAR440340 (Anti-IL33 mAb) Asthma

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-----------------------|---|----------|--|---|---|
| Asthma NCT02999711 | Phase 1 Assess the safety and tolerability of multiple ascending subcutaneous doses of REGN3500 in adult patients with Moderate Asthma | 24 | Randomized, double-blind, Placebo- controlled, Multiple ascending dose study of the safety | Primary: Incidence of TEAEs after repeat subcutaneous administration, severity of TEAEs Secondary: Concentration-time profile of REGN3500 after repeat subcutaneous administration, Immunogenicity, % change in total from baseline forced expiratory volume | SSD: Jan. 2017 DE: Nov. 2018 |



Isatuximab (anti-CD38 mAb) Hematological Malignancies (HM)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|------------------------------------|---|----------|--|---|--|
| CD38+HM TED10893 NCT01084252 | Phase1/2 Dose escalation and efficacy study of isatuximab in patients with selected CD38+ HM | 346 | Phase 1: MTD Phase 2: Stage 1: isatuximab activity at different doses/schedules and to select dose and regimen as single agent or in combination with dexamethasone Stage 2: activity at the selected dose/schedule from stage1, as single agent (ISA arm) and in combination with dexamethasone (ISAdex arm) Randomized, Open-label, Parallel assignment | Primary: DLT, ORR Secondary: DOR, PFS, OS, Immune Response | SSD: Jun. 2010 DE: 2019 |
| | | | assignment | | |



| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|---|---|--|
| Lenalidomide Combination RRMM TCD11833 NCT01749969 | Phase 1b Isatuximab, in Combination With Ienalidomide and dexamethasone for the Tx of Relapsed or Refractory MM | 60 | Patients with diagnosis of MM and documentation of at least 2 prior therapies (induction therapy, autologous stem cell transplant, consolidation and maintenance therapy is considered one prior therapy) Open-label, Parallel assignment Isatuximab (escalating doses) + lenalidomide + dexamethasone Total duration for one patient: up to 21 days screening, at least 4 weeks Tx, up to 60 days follow-up | Primary: N of patients with AE Secondary: ORR, PFS, PK, PD, Immunogenicity | SSD: Feb. 2013 DE: 2019 |



| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|--|----------|--|---|---|
| Pomalidomide Combination RRMM TCD14079 NCT02283775 | Phase 1b Isatuximab, in combination with pomalidomide and dexamethasone for the Tx of Relapsed/Refractory MM | 45 | Patients previously diagnosed with MM based on standard criteria and currently require Tx because MM has relapsed following a response Open-label, Parallel assignment Isatuximab (escalating doses) + pomalidomide + dexamethasone Total duration for one patient: up to 21 days screening, Tx period up to disease progression or AEs , 60- day follow-up | Primary: DLTs, N of patients with AE Secondary: ORR, PK, Immunogenicity, DOR, CB | SSD: May 2015 DE: 2018 |



| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|--|----------|--|---|--|
| Bortezomib Combination RRMM TCD13983 NCT02513186 | Phase 1 Isatuximab in combination with bortezomib - based regimens in adult patients with newly diagnosed MM non eligible for transplantation | 44 | Patients with a diagnosis of MM with evidence of measurable disease, having received prior Tx with an IMiD and with at least 3 prior lines of therapy Open-label, Single Group assignment Isatuximab (escalating dose) + bortezomib + cyclophosphamide + dexamethasone: VCDI cohort (3-week screening, 50-week duration for induction and then up to disease progression, or unacceptable AEs + follow-up) Isatuximab + bortezomib + dexamethasone + lenalidomide: VRDI cohort to begin after VCDI completion (4-week screening, 24-week duration for induction and then up to disease progression, or unacceptable AEs, + follow-up) | Primary: DLTs/VCDI For both VCDI & VRDI: ORR, CR Secondary: N of patients with AE, and significant changes in lab tests, PK, DOR | SSD: Sep. 2015 DE: 2024 |



| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---------------------------------|--|----------|--|--|--|
| RRMM TED14154 NCT02514668 | Phase 1 Safety, PK and Efficacy of isatuximab in patients with Relapsed/Refractory MM | 64 | Patients with a diagnosis of MM with evidence of measurable disease and with evidence of disease progression Open-label, Single Group assignment, isatuximab (escalating doses) Total duration for one patient: up to 21 days screening, Tx period up to disease progression or AEs , 60- day follow-up at least | Primary: Part A: DLTs, N of patients with AE; Part B: ORR Secondary: PK, N of patients with AEs, DOR, CB, PFS, Immunogenicity | SSD: Sep. 2015 DE: 2019 |
| | | | | | |



| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|--|----------|---|--|--|
| ISLANDS (Japanese Patients) RRMM TED14095 NCT02812706 | Phase 1 Phase 2 Isatuximab single-agent in Japanese patients with Relapsed and Refractory MM | 42 | Patients with a diagnosis of symptomatic MM, having received at least 3 prior lines of therapy OR whose disease is double refractory to an IMiD and a PI Open-label, Single Group assignment, isatuximab monotherapy Total duration for one patient: up to 21-day screening, Tx period up to disease progression or unacceptable AEs, post-Tx follow-up | Primary: Phase 1: DLTs Phase 2: ORR Secondary: N of patients with AE, CB, OS, PFS, DOR, TTR, PK, PD, Immunogenicity | SSD: Sep. 2016 DE: 2018 |



| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|--|---|--------------------|
| Cemiplimab Combination RRMM TCD14906 NCT03194867 | Phase 1 Phase 2 Safety, PK and Efficacy of isatuximab in combination with cemiplimab in patients with Relapsed/Refractory MM | 54 | Patients with a diagnosis MM with evidence of measurable disease, having received prior Tx with an IMiD and with at least 3 prior lines of therapy Open-label, Single Group assignment Isatuximab + cemiplimab Total duration for one patient: up to 21-day screening, Tx period up to disease progression or unacceptable AEs, 3-month post-Tx follow-up | Primary: DLTs, N of patients with AE, ORR Secondary: CB, DOR, TTR, PFS, OS, PK, Immunogenicity (isatuximab and cemiplimab) | Not yet recruiting |



| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|--|---|---|
| ICARIA-MM RRMM EFC14335 NCT02990338 | Phase 3 Isatuximab, pomalidomide, and dexamethasone to pomalidomide and dexamethasone in Refractory or Relapsed and RRMM | 300 | Isatuximab in combination with pomalidomide and low-dose dexamethasone, compared to pomalidomide and low-dose dexamethasone in patients with RRMM Randomized, Open-label, Parallel assignment | Primary: PFS Secondary: ORR, OS, TTP, PFS, DOR | SSD: Jan. 2017 DE (1st Part)⁽¹⁾: 2018 |



| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|---|---|---|
| IKEMA RRMM EFC15246 NCT03275285 | Phase 3 Isatuximab combined with carfilzomib and dexamethasone vs. carfilzomib with dexamethasone in patients With Relapse and/or Refractory MM previously treated with 1 to 3 prior lines | 300 | Patients with MM previously treated with prior 1 to 3 lines and with measurable serum M-protein (≥ 0.5 g/dL) and/or urine M-protein (≥ 200 mg/24 hours) Randomized, Open-label, Parallel assignment, 2-arm: (a) isatuximab +carfilzomib+dexamethasone, (b) carfilzomib+dexamethasone | Primary: PFS Secondary: ORR, % of patients with CR, and VGPR, OS, TTP, Second PFS, DOR, AE, PK, Immunogenicity | SSD: Oct. 2017 DE (1st Part)⁽¹⁾: 2020 |



| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|--|--|--|
| IMROZ NDMM EFC12522 NCT03319667 | Phase 3 Isatuximab in combination with bortezomib (Velcade®), lenalidomide (Revlimid®) and dexamethasone vs. bortezomib, lenalidomide and dexamethasone in patients with newly diagnosed MM not eligible for transplant | 440 | Newly diagnosed MM not eligible for transplant due to age (≥ 65 years) or patients < 65 years with comorbidities impacting possibility of transplant or patient's refusal of transplant Randomized, Open-label, Parallel assignment IVRd arm (Isatuximab/bortezomib/lenalidomide /dexamethasone) VRd arm (Bortezomiblenalidomide /dexamethasone) Ird crossover arm (Isatuximab/lenalidomide/dexamethasone) Total duration for each patient: screening period up to 4 weeks, induction period and crossover when applicable | Primary: PFS Secondary: ORR, % of patients with CR, and VGPR, OS, TTP, DOR, PFS on next line of therapy (PFS2), AE, PK, Immunogenicity, QOL | • SSD: 2017 • DE (1st Part) ⁽¹⁾ : 2022 |



Cemiplimab (PD-1 inhibitor) Advanced Malignancies (AM)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|----------|---|---|--|
| AM R2810-ONC- 1423 NCT02383212 | Phase 1 A first-in-human study of repeat dosing with cemiplimab, as single therapy and in combination with other Anti- Cancer therapies in patients with AM | 1,167 | Non-Randomized, Open-label, Parallel assignment, ascending-dose Monotherapy, cemiplimab alone Dual combination: cemilplimab in combination with hypofractionated radiotherapy or with cyclophosphamide or with docetaxel Triple combination: cemiplimab with hypofractionated radiotherapy plus cyclophosphamide, or hypofractionated radiotherapy plus GM-CSF or carboplatin plus paclitaxel or carboplatin plus docetaxel Quadruple combination: cemiplimab with hypofractionated radiotherapy plus GM-CSF plus cyclophosphamide, or carboplatin plus paclitaxel or carboplatin plus paclitaxel or carboplatin plus docetaxel Quadruple combination: cemiplimab with hypofractionated radiotherapy plus GM-CSF plus cyclophosphamide | Primary: TEAE, Incidence of abnormal laboratory findings, N of participants with DLT Secondary, RECIST as measured by CT or MRI, Immune-Related Response | SSD: Jan. 2015 DE: 2020 |



Cemiplimab (PD-1 inhibitor) Advanced Malignancies (AM)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|--|---|--|
| PK in Japanese patients AM R2810-ONC- 1622 NCT03233139 | Phase 1 To investigate the safety and PKs of cemiplimab in Japanese patients with AM | 6 | Histologically or cytologically confirmed diagnosis of malignancy with no alternative standard-of-care therapeutic option Single Group assignment, Open-label | Primary: TEAEs cemiplimab PK parameters Secondary: Immunogenicity against cemiplimab | SSD: Sep. 2017 DE: 2019 |



Cemiplimab (PD-1 inhibitor) Melanoma

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|--|----------|---|--|---|
| Biomarkers Melanoma R2810-ONC- 1606 NCT03002376 | Phase 1 Exploratory Tumor Biopsy- driven study to understand the relationship between biomarkers and clinical response in Melanoma patients receiving cemiplimab | 30 | For Histologically confirmed diagnosis of stage III (unresectable) or stage IV melanoma with at least 1 lesion that is measurable by RECIST 1.1 criteria and accessible for biopsies Non-Randomized, Open-label, Parallel assignment Group 1: Patients with metastatic CSCC: to distant sites or lymph nodes. cemiplimab administered intravenously every 2 weeks Group 2: Patients with unresectable locally advanced CSCC. cemiplimab administered intravenously every 2 weeks Group 3: Patients with metastatic CSCC, to distant sites or lymph nodes. cemiplimab administered intravenously every 2 weeks | Primary: Correlation between changes in the tumor microenvironment and the change in tumor volume following cemiplimab Tx Secondary: Correlation between baseline tumor characteristics and the change in tumor volume following Tx, cemiplimab serum concentrations, antibodies levels, PFS, ORR | • SSD: Apr. 2017 • DE (1st Part) (1): 2018 |



Cemiplimab (PD-1 inhibitor) Cutaneous Squamous Cell Carcinoma (CSCC)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|----------|--|--|---|
| Advanced CSCC R2810-ONC- 1540 NCT02760498 | Phase 2 Cemiplimab monotherapy for patients with metastatic (nodal or distant) CSCC (Groups 1 and 3) or with unresectable locally advanced CSCC (Group 2) | 150 | Non-Randomized, Open-label, Parallel assignment Group 1: Patients with metastatic CSCC: to distant sites or lymph nodes cemiplimab administered intravenously every 2 weeks Group 2: Patients with unresectable locally advanced CSCC. cemiplimab administered intravenously every 2 weeks Group 3: Patients with metastatic CSCC: to distant sites or lymph nodes, cemiplimab administered intravenously every 3 weeks | Primary: ORR (96 weeks), Groups 1 and 3: RECIST version 1.1 will be used to determine ORR, Group 2: Clinical response criteria will be used to determine ORR Secondary: Investigator Assessments of ORR, DOR, DOD, PFS, OS, CRR | SSD: May 2016 DE: 2019 |



Cemiplimab (PD-1 inhibitor) Basal Cell Carcinoma (BCC)

Pathway Inhibitor Therapy

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|---|--|--|
| BCC R2810-ONC- 1620 NCT03132636 | Phase 2 Cemiplimab in patients with Advanced BCC who experienced progression of disease on Hedgehog Pathway Inhibitor Therapy, or were intolerapt of Prior Hedgehog | 147 | Patients with confirmed diagnosis of invasive BCC Non-Randomized, Open-label, Parallel assignment Group 1: Patients with metastatic BCC Group 2: Patients with unresectable locally advanced BCC | Primary: ORR for mBCC measured by RECIST version 1.1 ORR for unresectable locally advanced BCC measured by Composite Response Criteria Secondary: DOR, CR, PFS, OS | SSD: July 2017 DE (1st Part) ⁽¹⁾: 2018 |


Cemiplimab (PD-1 inhibitor) Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|--|----------|---|--|---|
| mNSCLC R2810-ONC- 1624 NCT03088540 | Phase 3 First-line Tx in patients with advanced or metastatic NSCLC whose tumors express PD-L1, vs. Platinum Based Chemotherapy | 300 | For histologically or cytologically documented squamous or non squamous NSCLC with stage IIIB or stage IV disease who received no prior systemic Tx for recurrent or metastatic NSCLC Randomized, Open-label, Cross-over assignment Active Comparator: Standard-of-care chemotherapy: paclitaxel + cisplatin OR paclitaxel + carboplatin OR gemcitabine + cisplatin or gemcitabine + cisplatin or gemcitabine + cisplatin followed by optional pemetrexed maintenance OR pemetrexed + carboplatin followed by optional pemetrexed maintenance | Primary: PFS as assessed by a blinded Independent review committee using RECIST 1.1 Secondary: OS, Objective response rates, BOR, DOR | SSD: May 2017 DE: 2021 |



Cemiplimab (PD-1 inhibitor) Cervical cancer (CC)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------|--|--|---|
| СС | Phase 3 | 800 | Patients with recurrent or metastatic platinum-refractory CC treated with | Primary: OS Secondary: PFS, ORR, DOR, | SSD: Oct. 2017 DE (1st Part)⁽¹⁾: 2020 |
| R2810-ONC- 1676 NCT03257267 | Cemiplimab vs. therapy of IC chemotherapy in Recurrent or Metastatic Platinum-Refractory CC | | either REGN2810 or IC chemotherapy Randomized, Open-label, Parallel assignment, Tx cycle 6 weeks, Planned Tx for up to 96 weeks | QOL | |



SAR566658 (maytansin loaded anti-CA6 mAb) Triple Negative Breast Cancer (TNBC)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|----------------------------------|---|----------|---|--|--|
| mTNBC ACT14884 NCT02984683 | Phase 2b Efficacy and safety of SAR566658 Tx in patients with CA6 Positive Metastatic TNBC | 62 | Patients with Measurable Metastatic TNBC, with CA6-positive disease Randomized, Open-label, Parallel assignment; Tx cycle 3 weeks Part 1: SAR566658 will be given as Dose 1 (cohort 1) and Dose 2 (cohort 2) at Day 1 and Day 8 every 3 weeks intravenously (dose selection) Part 2: SAR566658 will be given as Dose 1 or Dose 2 (depending on dose level selected from part 1) at Day 1 and Day 8 every 3 weeks intravenously (efficacy of the selected dose) | Primary: ORR Secondary: DCR, DOR, PFS, TTP, Impact of ocular primary prophylaxis on the incidence of keratopathies, Potential immunogenicity of SAR566658 | SSD: Mar. 2017 DE: 2019 |



SAR439459 (TGFß inhibitor mAb) Advanced Solid Tumors (AST)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|-----------------|---|---|--|
| AST Monotherapy and combination with cemiplimab TCD14678 NCT03192345 | Phase 1/1b PK, PD and Anti-tumor activity of SAR439459 Monotherapy and in combination with cemiplimab in adult patients with AST | 130 expected | Patients with histologically confirmed, advanced unresectable or metastatic solid tumor Randomized, Open-label, Parallel assignment Part 1A: SAR439459 monotherapy escalating doses/14-day cycle Part 2A: SAR439459 monotherapy/14-day cycle with the previously recommended dose Part 1B: SAR439459 escalating dose + cemiplimab standard dose /14-day cycle Part 2B: SAR439459 at previously recommended dose + cemiplimab standard dose / 14-day cycle Part 2B: SAR439459 at previously recommended dose + cemiplimab standard dose / 14-day cycle Part 2B: SAR439459 at previously recommended dose + cemiplimab standard dose / 14-day Escalation periods non randomized followed par expansion periods randomized | Primary: DLTs (Part 1), ORR (Part 2) Secondary: Safety, Immunogenicity, PFS, TTP, PK | SSD: Jun. 2017 DE: 2020 |



SAR408701 (maytansin loaded anti-CEACAM5 mAb) Advanced Solid Tumors (AST) 1/2

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|-----------------|--|---|--|
| First-in-Human TED13751 NCT02187848 | Phase 1 Phase 2 PK and antitumor activity of SAR408701 in patients with AST | 152 expected | Patients with locally advanced or metastatic solid malignant tumor Non-Randomized, Open-label, Parallel assignment Arm 1 : SAR408701 monotherapy escalating cohorts Arm 2: SAR408701 expansion cohort in CRC with MTD previously defined Arm 3: SAR408701 expansion cohort lung adenocarcinoma at MTD Arm 4: SAR408701 expansion cohort gastric adenocarcinoma at MTD Arm 5: SAR408701 loading dose at first cycle followed by MTD | Primary: MTD, Anti-tumor response RECIST Secondary: Safety, Immunogenicity, PK | SSD: Sep. 2014 DE: 2019 |



SAR408701 (maytansin loaded anti-CEACAM5 mAb) Advanced Solid Tumors (AST) 2/2

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|--|---|--|
| Japanese patients Monotherapy and Combination TCD15054 NCT03324113 | Phase 1 Safety and PK of SAR408701 Monotherapy and in combination with other anti- tumor drug in Japanese patients with Advanced Malignant Solid Tumors | 27 | Patients with malignant solid tumor Non-Randomized, Open-label, Sequential assignment Phase 1 : SAR408701 monotherapy escalating doses/ 4 weeks Phase 1B: SAR408701 at MTD in combinations with other anti-tumor drugs, 4 weeks | Primary: DLTs, Phase 1 and 1B Secondary: Safety, Immunogenicity, PK, Plasma CEACAM5 levels, Anti-tumor response RECIST | SSD: Oct. 2017 DE: 2019 |



GZ402666 (avalglucosidase alfa) Pompe disease (PD) 1/3

OncologyCardiovascularRare DiseasesInfectious diseaseNeuro, Gene therapyVaccines

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|--|----------|---|--|---|
| COMET Late Onset EFC14028 NCT02782741 | Phase 3 To compare efficacy and safety of Enzyme Replacement therapies avalglucosidase alfa and alglucosidase alfa in patients with Late-onset PD who have not been previously treated for PD | 96 | Repeated Biweekly Infusions of avalglucosidase alfa (GZ402666) and alglucosidase alfa in Tx-naïve patients with late-onset PD age 3 years and older Randomized, Double-Blind, Parallel Assignment Total study duration for one patient: 3 years [14-day screening, 49-week blinded Tx period, 96-week open-label Tx and 4-week post-Tx observation period | Primary: Change from baseline in percent predicted forced vital capacity (%FVC) in upright position Secondary: Change from baseline in six-minute walk test scores, maximal inspiratory / expiratory pressure in upright position, hand-held dynamometry measurement of lower extremity muscle strength in Quick Motor Function Test scores, 12- Item Short-form health survey scores | SSD: Nov. 2016 DE (1st Part)⁽¹⁾: 2019 |



GZ402666 (avalglucosidase alfa) Pompe disease (PD) 2/3

OncologyCardiovascularRare DiseasesInfectious diseaseNeuro, Gene therapyVaccines

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|--|---|---|
| Mini-COMET Infantile Onset ACT14132 NCT03019406 | Phase 2 To assess safety and efficacy of avalglucosidase alfa in Pediatric patients with infantile-onset PD previously treated With alglucosidase alfa | 20 | In Patients with Infantile-onset PD treated with alglucosidase alfa who demonstrate clinical decline or sub- optimal clinical response Randomized, Open-label, Ascending dose, Parallel assignment Total study duration for one patient: 3 years [14-day screening, 25-week Tx period, a 120-week extension period and 4-week post-Tx observation period | Primary: N of participants with AE Secondary: PK parameters, Change from baseline in Gross Motor Function (GMF) Measure-88 Test, Change from baseline revised GMF Classification System score, Pompe specific Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory, Functional Skills Scale, Mobility Domain Test score and Quick Motor Function Test scores, Left Ventioulder Moae Index Evaluation | SSD: Oct. 2017 DE (1st Part)⁽¹⁾: 2019 |
| | | | | position measurements, Creatine kinase value | |



GZ402666 (avalglucosidase alfa) Pompe disease (PD) 3/3

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|----------|--|--|--|
| NEO-EXT LTS13769 NCT02032524 | Phase 2 Phase 3 Long-term safety and PK of repeated biweekly infusions of avalglucosidase alfa in patients with PD | 24 | In patients with PD who previously completed a avalglucosidase alfa study [adult, senior] Non-randomized, Open-label, Parallel assignment Total study duration for one patient: 6 years [until the patient withdraws, the Investigator withdraws the patient, or the Sponsor terminates the study] | Primary: AEs and TEAEs, including IARs & deaths, Hematology, biochemistry and urinalysis, vital signs Secondary: ECG, PK parameters, anti- avalglucosidase alfa immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies, and neutralizing antibody formation in IgG seropositive patients, anti- alglucosidase alfa IgG antibodies, Skeletal muscle glycogen content, Qualitative and quantitative muscle degenerative assessments MRI, Urinary Hex4, plasma analyses of circulating mRNA and micro RNA, Serum analyses of skeletal muscle RNA expression | SSD: Feb. 2014 DE: 2020 |

Patisiran (siRNA targeting TTR) Hereditary ATTR (hATTR) Amyloidosis

 Oncology
 Cardiovascular

 Rare Diseases
 Infectious disease

 S, Neuro, Gene therapy
 Vaccines

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|----------|--|--|--|
| APOLLO Global OLE FAP LTE14730 ALN-TTR02-006 NCT02510261 | Phase 3 Patisiran for the Tx of transthyretin mediated Polyneuropathy Familial Amyloidotic Polyneuropathy | 228 | For patients having completed a previous patisiran efficacy study Safety and tolerability of long-term dosing of patisiran Single Group assignment, Open-label | Primary: Safety and tolerability of long-term dosing of patisiran as measured by the proportion of subjects with AE leading to discontinuation of study drug Secondary: Changes from baseline in neurologic impairment assessed using the Neuropathy Impairment Score (NIS), the Modified NIS (mNIS +7) composite score, the NIS+7 QOL [(QOL-DN) and EuroQOL (EQ-5D)], autonomic and motor function, disability, nutritional status, serum TTR lowering | SSD: Jul. 2015 DE: 2019 |



Fitusiran (siRNA targeting Antithrombin/AT3)⁽¹⁾ Hemophilia A & B

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|---|--|--|
| Hemophilia A or B LTE14762 ALN- AT3SC- 002 | Phase 1/2 Hemophilia A and Hemophilia B Fitusiran in patients with moderate or severe hemophilia A or B | 34 | For patients having participated in a previous fitusiran study Single Group assignment, Open-label | Primary: % of patients experiencing AEs, SAEs, and AEs leading to study drug discontinuation Secondary: Changes in the N of Bleeding Event, the Amount of Factor VIII or Factor IX administered for the Tx of | SSD: Sep. 2015 DE: 2019 |
| NCT02554773 | | | | bleeding episodes, health- related QOL plasma levels of antithrombin and thrombin generation | |



Olipudase Alfa (rhASM ERT) 1/3 Acid Sphingomyelinase Deficiency (ASMD)

Immuno-inflammationDiabetesOncologyCardiovascularRare DiseasesInfectious diseaseMS, Neuro, Gene therapyVaccines

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|----------|---|---|---|
| ASCEND Niemann-Pick disease type B ⁽¹⁾ DFI12712 NCT02004691 | Phase 2 Phase 3 Efficacy, Safety, PD, and PK study of olipudase alfa in patients with ASD | 36 | Randomized, Double-blinded, Placebo- controlled, Parallel assignment Total study duration for one patient at least 3 years up to 5 years and 3 months [2-month screening, 52-week double- blind Tx period, 4-year and 1 month open label extension period with olipudase | Primary: % change in spleen volume, % change in diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide Secondary: Change in splenomegaly-related symptom score (except US, where it is part of the primary "combination spleen endpoint"), % change in liver volume, % change in platelet count, Change in fatigue severity as measured by item 3 of the Brief Fatigue Inventory scale, Change in pare a severity as measured by the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy dyspnea tool | SSD: Jun. 2016 DE (1st Part)⁽²⁾: 2019 |



(2)

Olipudase Alfa (rhASM ERT) 2/3 Acid Sphingomyelinase Deficiency (ASMD)

 Rare Diseases
 Infectious diseases

 Neuro
 Gene therapy
 Vaccines

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-------------------------|--|----------|---|---|---|
| ASCEND Peds | Phase 1 Phase 2 | 20 | Open-label, ascending dose, Single group assignment Total study duration for one patient | Primary: N of AE, Clinically significant changes in laboratory parameters, | SSD: Jun. 2015DE: 2019 |
| DFI13803 NCT02292654 | Safety, Tolerability, PK, and efficacy evaluation of ollipudase alfa in pediatric patients <18 years of age with ASMD | | approximately 18 months [up to 60-day screening, 64-week Tx period, 37-day post Tx period except if patient enrolled in a long-term extension study] | Clinically significant changes in physical examinations Secondary: PK parameters, Change in sphingomyelin levels and sphingomyelin metabolite levels | |



Olipudase Alfa (rhASM ERT) 3/3 Acid Sphingomyelinase Deficiency (ASMD)

Oncology Cardiovascular

 Oncology
 Cardiovascular

 Rare Diseases
 Infectious disease

 IS Neuro, Gene therapy
 Vaccines

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Long-Term LTS13632 NCT02004704 | Phase 2 Long-term study of olipudase alfa in patients with ASDM | 25 | For patients who have completed a previous study with olipudase alfa (DFI13803 for pediatric patients, and DFI13412 for adult patients) Open-label, Single group assignment Total study duration for one patient: 5 years | Primary: N of patients experiencing AE, Physical examinations including neurologic examinations, Clinical laboratory tests, Safety biomarkers, IR assessments, Vital signs, echocardiogram and electrocardiogram, Liver biopsy and Liver ultrasound/Doppler for patients previously enrolled in DFI13412 Secondary: Spleen and Liver Volumes, Pulmonary imaging and function tests, Hematology and Lipid profiles, Health Outcomes Questionnaires For pediatrics patients: Hand X- ray for bone age and bone maturation, Tanner Staging and Lipid profiles, Health | • SSD: Dec. 2013 • DE: 2021 |
| | | | | Z-score | |



Venglustat (GCS inhibitor) Fabry disease (FD)

Oncology Cardiovascular Rare Diseases Infectious disease Neuro, Gene therapy Vaccines

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|----------|---|---|--|
| FABRY LONG-TERM LTS14116 NCT02489344 | BRYPhase 28NG-TERMLong-term safety, PD, and exploratory efficacy of venglustat in Tx-naïve adult male patients with FD | 8 | Male patients with FD who previously completed study ACT13739 Open-label, Single group Assignment Total study duration for one patient: up to 31 months | Primary: Safety profile, Clinically significant changes in laboratory parameter, and physical examinations Secondary: Change from baseline in plasma globotriaosylceramide (GL-3), plasma lyso GL-3, Change from | SSD: Jul. 2015 DE: 2018 |
| | | | | baseline in plasma glucosylceramide (GL 1), Urine GL-3 | |



Venglustat (GCS inhibitor) Gaucher disease (GD) Type 3

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|---|--|---|
| LEAP GD Type 3 PDY13949 NCT02843035 | Phase 2 Tolerability, PK, PD, and exploratory efficacy of venglustat in combination with cerezyme in adult patients with GD Type 3 | 10 | 52-week Two-part, Open-label, Single group Assignment Part 1: Evaluate CNS biomarkers in adult GD type 3 patients that distinguish GD3 from GD type 1, Screen adult GD3 patients who qualify for Tx with venglustat in Part 2, Total duration 45 days Part 2 : Safety and tolerability in GD3 patients, Total duration up to 61 weeks including 52 weeks of treatment | Primary: N of patients with AE, Change from baseline in biomarker levels (CSF and Plasma) Secondary: PK parameters (CSF and Plasma) | SSD: Mar. 2017 DE (1st Part)⁽¹⁾: 2021 |



Teriflunomide Multiple Sclerosis (MS)

OncologyCardiovascularRare DiseasesInfectious diseaseMS, Neuro, Gene therapyVaccines

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|--|---|--|
| TERIKIDS RMS EFC11759 NCT02201108 | Phase 3 Efficacy, Safety and PK of teriflunomide in Pediatric Patients With Relapsing Forms of MS | 165 | Patients with RMS meeting the criteria of MS based on McDonald criteria 2010 and International Pediatric MS Study Group criteria for pediatric MS With at least one relapse (or attack) in the 12 months preceding randomization or at least two relapses (or attack) in the 24 months preceding randomization Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo- Controlled, Parallel Group, Tx 96 weeks followed by Open-label extension (96 weeks up to a max of 192 weeks after randomization), follow-up 4 weeks after Tx discontinuation | Primary: Time to first clinical relapse after randomization Secondary: % of relapse free patients, N of new/newly enlarged T2 lesions, N of T1 Gd-enhancing T1 lesions , Change in volume of T2 lesions , of T1 hypointense lesions , brain atrophy, % of patients free of new or enlarged MRI T2-lesions, Change in performance on SDMT and Cognitive Battery Test , Safety, PK | SSD: Jul. 2014 DE: 2019 |



SAR422459 (ABCA4 gene therapy) Stargardt Disease

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|----------|--|---|--|
| Stargardt's Macular Degeneration TDU13583 NCT01367444 | Phase 1 Phase 2a Safety and tolerability of ascending doses of SAR422459 in patients with Stargardt's Macular Degeneration | 46 | Patients with a diagnosis of Stargardt's Macular Degeneration, with at least one pathogenic mutant ABCA4 allele on each chromosome Non-randomized, Single Group assignment, Open-label, ascending doses | Primary: IAE, Change from baseline in ocular safety assessments Secondary: Delay in retinal degeneration | SSD: Jun. 2011 DE: 2020 |
| Stargardt's Macular Degeneration LTS13588 SG1/002/11 NCT01736592 | Phase 2b Long term safety, tolerability and Biological activity of an experimental gene transfer agent, SAR422459, designed to treat patients With Stargardt Macular Degeneration | 28 | Long Term follow up of patients who received SAR422459 in a previous study (TDU13583) Single Group assignment, Open-label Follow-up 15 years | Primary: IAE Secondary: Delay in retinal degeneration | SSD: 2012DE: 2036 |



SAR421869 (Myosin 7A gene therapy) Usher 1B Syndrome

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|--|----------|---|---|--|
| UshStat® Usher Syndrome Type 1B TDU13600 NCT01505062 | Phase 1 Phase 2a Safety and tolerability of ascending doses of subretinal injections of UshStat [®] in patients with Retinitis Pigmentosa associated with Usher syndrome Type 1B | 18 | Patients with clinical and molecular diagnosis of Retinitis Pigmentosa associated with Usher Syndrome type 1B. With at least one pathogenic mutation in the MYO7A gene on each chromosome Non-randomized, Single Group assignment, Open-label, ascending doses | Primary: IAE Secondary: Delay in retinal degeneration | SSD: Apr. 2012 DE: 2020 |
| UshStat [®] Usher Syndrome Type 1B LTS13619 NCT02065011 | Phase 2b Long-Term Safety, Tolerability and Biological Activity of UshStat [®] in Patients With Usher Syndrome Type 1B | 28 | Long-term follow up of patients who received UshStat[®] in a previous study (TDU13600) Single Group assignment, Open-label | Primary: IAE Secondary: Change from baseline in ocular safety assessments, Delay in retinal degeneration | SSD: Dec. 2012 DE: 2035 |



GZ402668 (Anti-CD52 mAb) Relapsing Multiple Sclerosis (RMS)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|----------|--|---|--|
| Long Term Follow-Up MS LTS14120 NCT02313285 | Phase 2b Open-label, Long-term follow-up study of MS patients who participated in previous Genzyme-sponsored studies of GZ402668 | 72 | Long Term safety follow up of patients who received GZ402668 in a previous study (TDU13475 or TDU14981) No administration of GZ402668 in the LTS14120 study, Patients who already received investigational medicinal product (GZ402668 or placebo) in TDU13475 or TDU14981 will be followed up to 47 months in the LTS14120 | Primary: N of patients with AE, Safety, as assessed by clinical (physical examination), laboratory (hematology, creatinine, and urinalysis with microscopy), ECG, vital sign events, Clinically significant changes in thyroid function tests from baseline Secondary: Time to lymphocyte repopulation, Number of patients with anti-drug antibodies | SSD: Jan. 2015 DE: 2022 |



Venglustat (GCS inhibitor) GBA-PD

 Oncology
 Cardiovascular

 Rare Diseases
 Infectious disease

 MS, Neuro, Gene therapy
 Vaccines

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------|---|---|--|
| MOVES-PD ACT14820 NCT02906020 | Phase 2 Drug Dynamics, Efficacy, Safety of venglustat in Parkinson's Disease (PD) patients carrying a Glucocerebrosidase (GBA) Gene Mutation | 15 | Male and female adults with a diagnosis of PD and who are heterozygous carriers of a GBA mutation associated with PD Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo Controlled, Parallel Assignment Part 1: Increasing dose of venglustat administered once per day. Duration: up to 48 weeks outside Japan, and up to 64 weeks in Japan Part 2: venglustat dose determined in Part 1 administered once a day Duration: 5,6-week screening, 52-week Tx period, 104-week follow-up period and 6-week post Tx observation | Primary: Change from baseline in Movement Disorder Society Unified PD Rating Scale Part II and III score Secondary: Change from baseline in PD Cognitive Rating Scale, Movement Disorder Society Unified PD Rating Scale Part I, II, and III score, Hoehn and Yahr score | SSD: Jan. 2017 DE: 2021 |

Insulin glargine / lixisenatide Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--------------------------------------|--|----------|---|--|--|
| LIXILAN-G EFC13794 NCT02787551 | Phase 3 Efficacy and safety of lixilan vs. GLP-1 receptor agonist in patients with type 2 Diabetes not controlled on GLP-1 RAs + OADs, with an extension period | 500 | Patients with T2DM Randomized, Open-label, Active Controlled, Parallel-group Active comparator: Liraglutide/Exenatide/Exenatide ER/Albiglutide/Dulaglutide, Metformin, pioglitazone and SGLT2 inhibitor if taken prior to the study continued 1st period: up to 2 weeks screening, 26- week Tx period and 3 to 9 days follow- up post Tx Extension period 26-week extension | Primary: Change from baseline in HbA1c Secondary: % of participants reaching HbA1c targets, Change from baseline in FPG, in 7-point SMPG, in 2-hour PPG during standardized meal test, in blood glucose excursion during standardized meal test, in body weight, Symptomatic hypoglycemia, Safety, % of patients requiring rescue | SSD: Jul. 2016 DE: 2018 |
| | | | after the 26-week Tx for the lixiLan arm only, 3-day follow-up post extension | therapy | |



Insulin glargine / lixisenatide Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) - Japan

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|----------|--|---|---|
| LIXILAN JP-01 EFC14112 NCT02749890 | Phase 3 Efficacy and safety of lixilan compared to lixisenatide on top of OADs in Japanese patients with T2DM with an extension period | 318 | Japanese Patients with T2DM Randomized, Open-label, Active Controlled, Parallel-group, 2- Tx arm Active comparator: lixisenatide Background therapy with OADs (except dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitor) should be continued during the Tx period Study duration: approximately 55 weeks: up to 2-week screening, 26-week Tx period, 26-week safety extension Tx period and 3-day post Tx follow-up | Primary: Change from baseline in HbA1c Secondary: % of patients reaching HbA1c <7% or ≤6.5%, Change from baseline in FPG, in 7 point SMPG, % of patients reaching HbA1c <7% with no body weight gain, Change from baseline in body weight, % of patients requiring a rescue therapy, Change in daily dose of lixiLan for the combination group, N of hypoglycemic events, N of AE, Measurement from baseline of anti- lixisenatide antibodies and of anti-insulin antibodies | SSD: May 2016 DE: 2018 |



Insulin glargine / lixisenatide Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) - Japan

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|--|--|--|
| LIXILAN JP-L EFC14113 NCT02752412 | Phase 3 Efficacy and safety of lixilan compared to insulin glargine with Metformin in Japanese patients with T2DM inadequately controlled on Basal Insulin and Oral Antidiabetic Drugs | 534 | Japanese Patients with T2DM Randomized, Open-label, Active Controlled, Parallel-group, 2- Tx arm Active comparator: insulin glargine Background therapy: Metformin will be continued Study duration: approximately 41 weeks: up to 2-week screening, 12-week run-in, 26-week randomized Tx period and 3- day post Tx follow-up | Primary: Change from baseline in HbA1c Secondary: % of patients reaching HbA1c <7% or ≤6.5%, Change from baseline, in 2- hour PPpG, in blood glucose excursion during standardized meal test, in 7-point SMPG profiles (each time point and average daily value), in body weight, in FPG, in daily dose of insulin glargine, % of patients reaching HbA1c <7% with no body weight gain/no documented symptomatic hypoglycemia, % of patients requiring a rescue therapy, hypoglycemic events , AE, Measurement from baseline of anti-lixisenatide antibodies and of anti-insulin antibodies from baseline | SSD: Aug. 2016 DE: 2018 |



Insulin glargine / lixisenatide Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) - Japan

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|----------|---|--|--|
| LIXILAN JP-O2 EFC14114 NCT02752828 | Phase 3 Efficacy and safety of lixilan compared to Insulin Glargine on top of OADs in Japanese patients with T2DM | 534 | Japanese Patients with T2DM Randomized, Open-label, Active Controlled, Parallel-group, 2- Tx arm Active comparator: insulin glargine Background therapy with OADs (except dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitor) should be continued during the Tx period Study duration: approximately 29 weeks: up to 2-week screening, 26-week randomized open-label Tx period and 3- day post Tx follow-up | Primary: Change from baseline in HbA1c Secondary: % of patients reaching HbA1c <7% or ≤6.5%, Change from baseline, in 2- hour PPG, in 7 point SMPG profiles during standardized meal test, in body weight % of patients reaching HbA1c <7% with no body weight gain/no documented symptomatic hypoglycemia, % of patients requiring a rescue therapy, N of AE, N of hypoglycemic events, Measurement from baseline of anti-lixisenatide antibodies and of anti-insulin antibodies from baseline | SSD: Jun. 2016 DE: 2018 |



Lantus – Toujeo U300 Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) - Children

 Immuno-inflammation
 Diabetes

 Oncology
 Cardiovascular

 Rare Diseases
 Infectious disease

 IS. Neuro, Gene therapy
 Vaccines

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|--|----------|--|--|---|
| EDITION JUNIOR EFC13957 NCT02735044 | Phase 3 Efficacy and safety of a new formulation of insulin glargine (U300) and Lantus [®] injected once daily in children and adolescents Age 6 - 17 years with T1DM with a 6-month safety extension period | 450 | Children: 6 to 17 years old with T1DM Randomized, Open-label, Parallel-group, 2- Tx arm Active comparator: insulin glargine Study duration: approximately 58 weeks: up to 2-week screening, 6-month comparative Tx period , 6-month comparative extension period and 4-week post Tx follow-up | Primary: Change from baseline in HbA1c Secondary: % of patients with HbA1c values of <7.5% and % of patients with FPG of ≤130 mg/dL (7.2 mmol/L) without any episode of severe and/or documented (SMPG <54 mg/dL; 3.0 mmol/L) symptomatic hypoglycemia during the last 3 months of the main 6-month randomized period, Change from baseline in FPG, Change from baseline in 24-hour mean plasma glucose and in variability of 24-hour mean plasma glucose based on 8-point SMPG profiles, % of patients with hypoglycemia, % of patients with hyperglycemia with ketosis, % of patients with AE | SSD: April 2016 DE: 2018 |



| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|--|----------|--|--|--|
| SOTA-MONO (301) T2DM EFC14833 NCT02926937 | Phase 3 Efficacy and safety of sotagliflozin vs. placebo in patients with T2DM not currently treated with antidiabetic therapy | 400 | Patients (male and female) with T2D, who are treated with diet and exercise only during the 12 weeks prior to screening Randomized, Double-blind, Placebocontrolled, Parallel-group, 3-Tx arm, sota dose 1/200mg, sota dose 2/400mg, placebo Study duration: up to 34-week: up to 2-week screening period, 2-week single-blind placebo run-in, 26-week double-blind Tx period and 4-week post Tx follow-up | Primary: Change from Baseline in HbA1c in comparison of sotagliflozin dose 1 vs. placebo Secondary: Change from baseline in 2-hour PPG following a mixed meal in comparison of sotagliflozin doses 1/2 vs. placebo, FPG in comparison of sotagliflozin dose 1 vs. placebo, Body weight in comparison of sotagliflozin doses 1/2 versus placebo, % of patients with HbA1c <6.5% in comparison of sotagliflozin dose 1 vs. placebo, % of patients with HbA1c <7.0% in comparison of sotagliflozin dose 1 vs. placebo, Change from Baseline in HbA1c in comparison of sotagliflozin dose 2 vs. placebo, Change from baseline in SBP for patients with baseline SBP ≥130 mmHg in comparison of sotagliflozin dose 1 vs. placebo and SBP for all patients in comparison of sotagliflozin doses 1/2 vs. placebo | SSD: Dec. 2016 DE: 2019 |



| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|--|----------|--|---|--|
| SOTA-MET (302) T2DM EFC14834 NCT02926950 | Phase 3 Efficacy and safety of sotagliflozin added to metformin in patients with T2DM who have inadequate glycemic control on metformin | 500 | Patients with T2DM currently treated with diet and exercise and on metformin at a stable dose ≥1500 mg/day for at least 12 weeks Randomized, Double-blind, Placebocontrolled, Parallel-group, 2-Tx arm (placebo – sota 400mg), On top of metformin Study duration: up to 87-week: up to 2-week screening period, 2-week single-blind placebo run-in, 26-week double-blind core Tx period, 53-week double-blind extension period and 4-week post Tx follow-up | Primary: Change from Baseline in HbA1c Secondary: Change from Baseline I in 2-hour PPG following a mixed meal, in FPG, in body weight % of patients with HbA1c <6.5% - % patients with HbA1c <7.0% Change from Baseline I in systolic blood pressure (SBP) for patients with baseline SBP ≥130 mmHg in SBP for all patients. | SSD: Dec. 2016 DE: 2019 |



| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|--|--|--|
| SOTA-SU (307) T2DM EFC14835 NCT03066830 | Phase 3 Efficacy and safety of sotagliflozin added to a sulfonylurea alone or in combination with metformin in patients with Type 2 Diabetes who have inadequate glycemic control on a sulfonylurea alone or with metformin | 500 | Patients with T2DM treated with a sulfonylurea (≥half the maximum recommended dose as per local label or MTD as monotherapy or in combination with metformin (≥1500 mg per day or MTD) each at a stable dose for at least 12 weeks Randomized, Double-blind, Placebocontrolled, Parallel-group, 2-Tx arm (placebo – sota 400mg) On top of sulfonylurea alone or in combination with metformin Study duration: up to 85-week: up to 2-week screening period, 2-week single-blind run-in, 26-week double-blind core Tx period, 53-week double-blind extension period and 2-week post Tx follow-up | Primary: Change from Baseline in HbA1c Secondary: Change from baseline in FPG, in body weight, in Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) for patients with baseline SBP ≥130 mmHg, in SBP for all patients, % of patients with HbA1c <6.5%, % of patients with HbA1c <7.0% | SSD: Mar. 2017 DE: 2019 |



| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|----------|--|---|---|
| SOTA-CKD3 (306) T2DM EFC14837 NCT03242252 | Phase 3 Evaluate the efficacy and safety of sotagliflozin in patients with T2DM and Moderate Renal Impairment who have inadequate glycemic control | 780 | Patients with T2DM (drug-naïve or on antidiabetic therapy) and documented moderate renal insufficiency defined by an estimated glomerular filtration rate (based on the 4 variable Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation) of ≥30 and <60 mL/min/1.73 m2 (CKD 3A, 3B) Randomized, Double-blind, Placebocontrolled, Parallel-group, 3-Tx arm (placebo – sota 200mg - sota 400mg) Study duration: up to 60-week: up to 2-week screening period, 2-week single-blind run-in, 52-week randomized Tx period and 4-week post Tx follow-up | Primary: Change in HbA1c for sota dose 1 and sota dose 2 Secondary: Change from Baseline in FPG (doses 1/2) in SBP for patients with baseline SBP ≥130 mmHg (doses 1/2), in SBP for all patients (doses 1/2) and in body weight (doses 1/2), % change in UACR for patients with UACR > 30 mg/g (doses 1/2), % of patients with HbA1c less than 6.5% (doses 1/2), % of patients with HbA1c less than 7.0% (doses 1/2), % of patients with AE (doses 1/2) | SSD: Sept. 2017 DE: 2019 |

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|----------|---|--|---|
| SOTA-CKD4 (306) T2DM EFC15166 NCT03242018 | Phase 3 Evaluate the efficacy and safety of sotagliflozin in patients with T2DM and severe renal impairment who have inadequate glycemic control | 276 | Patients with T2DM (drug-naïve or on antidiabetic therapy) and documented severe renal insufficiency - CKD4 - defined by an estimated glomerular filtration rate equation (based on the 4 variable modification of diet in renal disease equation) of ≥15 and <30 mL/min/1.73 m2 Randomized, Double-blind, Placebocontrolled, Parallel-group, 3-Tx arm (placebo – sota 200mg - sota 400mg) Study duration: up to 60-week: up to 2-week screening period, 2-week single-blind run-in, 52-week randomized Tx period and 4-week post Tx follow-up | Primary: Change from Baseline in HbA1c comparing sotagliflozin dose 1 vs. placebo in CKD4 patients Secondary: Change from baseline in HbA1c comparing sotagliflozin dose 2 vs. placebo, in FPG (doses 1/2), in SBP at for patients with SBP greater than or equal to 130 mmHg (doses 1/2), in SBP in all patients (doses 1/2), % change in the UACR for patients with a UACR > 30 mg/g at baseline (doses 1/2), % of patients with HbA1c less than 6.5% (doses 1 and 2), % of patients with AE (doses 1/2) | SSD: Sept. 2017 DE: 2019 |



| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|---|----------|--|--|--|
| SOTA-INS (312) T2DM EFC14868 NCT03285594 | Phase 3 Efficacy and safety of sotagliflozin in patients with T2DM who have inadequate glycemic control on Basal Insulin alone or in addition to Oral Antidiabetes Drugs (OADs) | 560 | Patients with T2DM using any types of basal insulin alone or in combination with up to 2 OADs Randomized, Double-blind, Placebocontrolled, Parallel-group, 3-Tx arm (placebo – sota 200mg - sota 400mg) Background therapy with insulin glargine (Lantus[®]) (with or without OADs) throughout the study Study duration: up to 60-week: up to 2-week screening period, 4-week Lantus[®] titration single-blind placebo run-in period, 52-week double-blind Tx period and 2-week post Tx follow-up | Primary: Absolute change in hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) (for sotagliflozin dose 1) Secondary: Change in FPG (for sotagliflozin doses 1/2), in Body Weight (for sotagliflozin doses 1/2), in HbA1c (for sotagliflozin dose 2), in SBP for patients with baseline SBP ≥130 mmHg (for sotagliflozin doses 1/2), in SBP for all patients (for sotagliflozin dose 1), % of patients with Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) <7.0% (for sotagliflozin doses 1/2), % of patients with Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) <6.5% (for sotagliflozin doses 1/2), % of patients with AE | SDD: Oct. 2017 DE: 2019 |

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|--|----------|---|--|--|
| SCORED (303) T2DM EFC14875 NCT03315143 | Phase 3 Effects of sotagliflozin on CV and renal events in patients with T2DM, CV risk factors and moderately impaired renal function | 10 500 | Patients : T2DM with glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) ≥ 7%, Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) ≥ 25 and ≤ 60 mL/min/1.73 m2, Age 18 years or older with at least one major CV risk factor or age 55 years or older with at least two minor CV risk factors Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo- controlled, Parallel-group, 2-Tx arm (placebo - sota 400mg) Total Study duration: approximately 27 to 51 months, 24-month recruitment and 27-month of follow-up after the last patient randomized | Primary: Baseline to approx. 51 months, Time to the first occurrence of any of the following clinical events: CV death, Non-fatal myocardial infarction, Non-fatal stroke, Time to the first occurrence of any of the following clinical events: CV death; Hospitalization for heart failure Secondary: Baseline to approx. 51 months, Time to first composite renal event in subgroup of patients with macroalbuminuria, Total N of heart failure events, CV death , All cause mortality | SSD: Nov. 2017 DE: 2022 |

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|--|---|--|
| GLIM (304) T2DM EFC14838 NCT03332771 | Phase 3 Efficacy and safety of sotagliflozin vs. glimepiride and placebo in patients with T2DM that are taking metformin monotherapy | 930 | Patients : Patients with T2DM treated with metformin at a stable dose ≥1500 mg/day or MTD (documented) for at least 12 weeks prior to screening visit Randomized, Double-blind, Double-dummy, Active and Placebo-controlled, Parallel-group, 4-Tx arm (placebo – glimepiride, sota dose 1, sota dose 2) Total Study duration: up to 58 weeks including 2-week screening phase, 2-week singlr-blind placebo run-in, 52-week double-blind Tx period and 2-week post Tx follow-up | Primary: Absolute change in hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) (for sotagliflozin dose 1) Secondary: Change in Body Weight (for sotagliflozin dose), in HbA1c (for sotagliflozin dose 2), in SBP for patients with baseline SBP ≥130 mmHg (for sotagliflozin dose 1), in SBP for all patients (for sotagliflozin dose 1), % of patients with at least one hypoglycemic event (for sotagliflozin dose 1), % of patients with AE | SSD: Nov. 2017 DE: 2019 |



SAR341402 (Rapid Acting Insulin) T1 & T2 DM

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--------------------------------------|--|----------|--|--|--|
| GEMELLI 1 EFC15081 NCT03211858 | Phase 3 Comparison of SAR341402 to NovoLog®/NovoRapid® in adult patients with Diabetes also using Insulin Glargine, with a 6- month safety extension period | 500 | Patients with T1DM or T2DM diagnosed for at least 12 months, who have been treated with a multiple daily injection regimen with NovoLog[®]/NovoRapid[®] OR insulin lispro (100 U/mL) in the last 6 months prior to screening visit AND insulin glargine (100 U/mL) in the last 6 months prior to screening visit OR insulin determir (Levemir[®]) in the last 12 months prior to screening visit Randomized, Open-label, Parallel-group Active comparator: NovoLog[®]/NovoRapid[®] Study duration: 54-week per patient: 2-week screening period, 26-week Tx period, 26-week comparative safety extension, 1-day follow-up period | Primary: Change in HbA1c (%) from baseline to Week 26 Secondary: Change in HbA1c, Patients with HbA1c <7%, Change in FPG, Change in mean 24-hour plasma glucose concentration, Change in PPG, Change in 7-point SMPG, Hypoglycemic patients, Hypoglycemic events, Anti- SAR341402/NovoLog/NovoRap id antibody status, Tx-induced, Tx-boosted and Tx-emergent anti-insulin antibodies | SSD: Aug. 2017 DE: 2019 |



SAR425899 (GLP-1R/GCGR) Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|--|----------|---|--|--|
| SAR425899 T2DM EFC13940 NCT02973321 | Phase 2b Safety and efficacy of SAR425899 in overweight to obese patients with T2DM | 270 | Overweight and obese patients with T2DM for at least 3 months before the screening visit. On diet/exercise and/or Tx with metformin (stable dose of ≥1500 mg/day or maximal tolerated dose) for at least 3 months prior to screening Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo- controlled, Dose-ranging (SAR425899 3 doses, placebo) Active comparator: liraglutide Study duration: approximately 30-week: 3-week screening period at site, 26-week Tx period, 3-day follow-up period | Primary: Change in HbA1c (%) Secondary: Change in body weight, % of patients achieving predefined HbA1c targets of <7%, % of patients achieving predefined HbA1c targets of <6.5%, % of patients achieving ≥5% body weight loss, % of patients achieving ≥10% body weight loss, PK parameters | SSD: Dec. 2016 DE: 2018 |


Alirocumab (anti-PCSK-9 mAb) CV Events Reduction

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|--|----------|--|--|--|
| ODYSSEY Outcomes EFC11570 NCT01663402 | Phase 3 Evaluate the effect of alirocumab on the occurrence of CV Events in patients who have recently experienced an Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS) | 18 600 | Patients recently (< 52 weeks) hospitalized for ACS Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo- Controlled, Parallel-Group Study duration: max 64 months: up to 4 months run-in period, 60 months randomized Tx period | Primary: Time from randomization to first occurrence of one of the following clinical events: CHD death, any non-fatal MI, fatal and non-fatal ischemic stroke, unstable angina requiring hospitalization Secondary: Time to the first occurrence of any CHD event, major CHD event, any CV event, composite of all cause mortality/non-fatal MI/non-fatal ischemic stroke, all cause mortality, Change from baseline in blood lipids and LP levels | SSD: Nov. 2012 DE: 2018 |



Alirocumab (anti-PCSK-9 mAb) Heterozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia (HeFH)

Rare Diseases

ctious diseas

Vaccines

Cardiovascular

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|--|----------|--|---|--|
| ODYSSEY KIDs DFI14223 NCT02890992 | Phase 2 Efficacy and safety of alirocumab in children and adolescents with heFH followed by an extension phase | 30 | Patients with diagnosis of heFH through genotyping or clinical criteria., 8 to 17 years old, treated with optimal dose of statin +/- other LMT(s) or non-statin LMT(s) if statin intolerant at stable dose for at least 4 weeks prior to screening lipid sampling Open-Label, Sequential, Repeated Dose-Finding Study (6 doses tested) Backgroung therapies: optimal dose of statin with or without other LMT or non-statin LMT if statin intolerant at stable dose Study duration: approximately 16-23 weeks: up to 6 (+1) weeks screening period, 8 weeks open-label Tx period, 6 to 8 weeks follow-up period | Primary: % change in calculated LDL-C Secondary: Absolute change in calculated LDL-C, % change in APO B (Apo B), % change in non-high density LP cholesterol (non HDL-C), % change in Total-C, in LP, in TG, in HDL-C, in Apo A-1, Absolute change in Apo B, in non-HDL-C, in Total C, in Lp(a), in TG, in HDL-C, in Apo A-1, in ratio apo B/Apo A-1, % of participants achieving a calculated LDL-C level lower than 130 mg/dL (3.37 mmol/L), % of participants achieving a calculated LDL-C level lower than 110 mg/dL (2.84 mmol/L) | SSD: Sep. 2016 DE: 2018 |

Alirocumab (anti-PCSK-9 mAb) HeFH & non-FH Japan

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|--|---|--|
| ODYSSEY NIPPON EFC14305 NCT02584504 | Phase 3 Efficacy and safety of alirocumab in patients with Hypercholesterolemia not adequately controlled with non- statin lipid modifying therapy or the lowest strength of statin | 159 | Japanese Patients with hypercholesterolemia heFH or non- familial hypercholesterolemia receiving non statin LP modifying therapies (LMTs) or the lowest strength of statin Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo- controlled, Parallel Group, 3-arm (alirocumab dose 1, alirocumab dose 2, placebo) Backgroung therapies: stable and lowest-dose statin therapy or stable non- statin LMTs (eg, atorvastatin, fenofibrate, bezafibrate, ezetimibe) including diet therapy Study duration: approximately 71 weeks: 4-week run-in period, 3-week screening period, 12-week double-blind Tx period, 52-week open-label Tx period | Primary: % change in calculated LDL-C using all LDL- C values regardless of adherence to Tx Secondary: % change in calculated LDL-C using all LDL- C values during the efficacy Tx period, % change in calculated LDL-C, % change in Apo-B, non-HDL-C, in TC, % of patients reaching LDL-C goal, % change in Lp(a), HDL-C, fasting TG, Apo A-1 | SSD: Sep. 2016 DE: 2018 |



Alirocumab (anti-PCSK-9 mAb) LDL Lowering China

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|--|----------|--|--|--|
| ODYSSEY EAST EFC13889 NCT02715726 | Phase 3 Efficacy and safety of alirocumab vs. ezetimibe in Asia in High CV risk patients with Hypercholesterolemia not adequately controlled with their statin therapy | 600 | Patients with hypercholesterolemia and established coronary heart disease (CHD) or CHD risk equivalents who are not adequately controlled with a maximally tolerated daily dose of statin at a stable dose for at least 4 weeks prior to the screening visit (Week -3) Randomized, Double-blind, Parallel Group, 2-Arm Active comparator: ezetimibe Background therapies: atorvastatin, rosuvastatin, or simvastatin continued during the course of the trial Study duration: max 35 weeks: 3-week screening period, 24-week randomized Tx period, 8-week follow-up period | Primary: % change in calculated LDL-C in the intent- to-treat (ITT) population Secondary: % change in calculated LDL-C in the modified ITT (mITT) population, % change in calculated LDL-C, % change in Apo B, in non- HDL-C, in TC, in Lp(a), in HDL- C, in fasting TG, in Apo A-1, % of patients reaching calculated LDL-C <70 mg/dL (1.81 mmol/L) | SSD: Aug. 2016 DE: 2018 |



Alirocumab (anti-PCSK-9 mAb) Homozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia (HoFH)

 Oncology
 Cardiovascular

 Rare Diseases
 Infectious disease

S, Neuro, Gene thera

Vaccines____

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|---|---|--|
| HoFH Regeneron R727-CL-1628 NCT03156621 | Phase 3 Evaluate the efficacy and safety of alirocumab in patients with HoFH | 54 | Diagnosis of HoFH by specific genotype or clinical criteria (all patients on LDL apheresis must be diagnosed based on genotype) Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo- Controlled, Parallel-Group, 2-Arm (alirocumab Q2W, placebo) Study duration: 12-week double-blind Tx period followed by 10-week alirocumab open-label Tx period | Primary: % change in LDL-C ITT population Secondary: % change in Apo B, % change in non-HDL-C, % change in TC, % change in LP(a), % change in HDL-C, % change in fasting TG, % change in Apo A-1, % change in LDL-C, % change in LDL-C, ApoB B, non-HDL-C, TC, Lp(a), HDL-C, fasting TG, Apo A-1 / (m)ITT population, Absolute change in the ratio of Apo B/Apo A-1 (<i>ITT</i>), % of patients with ≥15% reduction in LDL-C, % of patients with ≥30% reduction in LDL-C, % of patients with ≥50% reduction in LDL-C, % of patients with ≥15% reduction, ≥30% reduction, and ≥50% reduction in LDL-C | SSD: Oct. 2017 DE: 2019 |



SAR439152 (Myosin inhibitor) Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy (OHCM)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|---|----------|--|---|--|
| PIONEER-HCM | Phase 2 | 21 | Patients with HCM (hypertrophied and non-dilated left ventricle in absence of | Primary: Change in post- exercise peak LVOT gradient | SSD: Oct. 2016 DE: 2018 |
| MyoKardia collaboration MYK-461-004 NCT02842242 | Efficacy, PK, PD, Safety and tolerability of SAR439152/MYK- 461 in subjects with Symptomatic Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy and Left Ventricular Outflow Tract Obstruction | | systemic or other known cause), with LV wall thickness ≥ 15 mm at time of initial diagnosis or ≥ 13 mm with a positive family history of HCM Open-label, Pilot, Single Group Assignment | from baseline to Week 12 • Secondary: Not provided | |



SAR407899 (Rho.kinase inhibitor) Microvascular Angina (MA)

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|--|----------|--|---|--|
| Rho-Kinase ACT14656 NCT03236311 | Phase 2a Effects of SAR407899 in patients with MA and/or Persistent Stable Angina despite angiographically successful elective Percutaneous Coronary Intervention | 78 | Patients with Symptomatic stable angina pectoris (typical or atypical symptoms with at least once weekly episodes); ECG evidence of ischemia with ST-segment depression during a symptom limited exercise test or non-invasive evidence of ischemia Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Parallel Arm Dose Titration over 4-week administration | Primary: Assess effects of SAR407899 on coronary vasomotor function using coronary flow reserve assessed by 13N-ammonia or 82rubidium PET scan Secondary: Assess effects of SAR407899 on QOL using Seattle Angina Questionnaire physical limitation domain (SAQ-PL) safety with a focus on hypotension and orthostatic hypotension plasma concentrations | SSD: Oct. 2017 DE: 2018 |

Alirocumab (anti-PCSK-9 mAb) Neurocognitive Evaluation

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|--|--|----------|--|--|---|
| Neurocognitive Evaluation Regeneron R727-CL-1532 NCT02957682 | Phase 3 Evaluate the effect of alirocumab on Neurocognitive function in patients with HeFH and non-HeFH at high and very high cardiovascular risk | 2100 | Patients with hypercholesterolemia and established coronary heart disease (CHD) or CHD risk equivalents who are not adequately controlled with a maximally tolerated daily dose of statin at a stable dose for at least 4 weeks prior to the screening visit Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Parallel-Group, 2-Arm (alirocumab Q2W, placebo, 1:1) Study duration: 3 weeks screening, 96-weeks double-blind Tx period | Primary: Change in Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB) cognitive domain Spatial Working Memory (SWM) strategy score from baseline to week 96. Secondary (safety) at week 96 in the CANTAB domains and compared to baseline raw scores: Paired Associates Learning, Reaction Time, SWM, global composite Secondary (efficacy): % change in calculated LDL-C, % change in Apo B, in non-HDL-C, in TC, in Lp(a), in HDL-C, in fasting TG, in Apo A-1, % of patients reaching calculated LDL-C <70 mg/dL (1.81 mmol/L) and LDL- C < 50mg/dL(1.29 mmol/L). | SSD: Nov 2016 DE: 2020 |



Ferroquine – Artefenomel / OZ439 Malaria

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|----------------------------------|---|----------|--|--|--|
| FALCI DRI12805 NCT02497612 | Phase 2 Efficacy, Safety, Tolerability and PK of a single dose regimen of ferroquine with artefenomel (OZ439) in adults and children with Uncomplicated Plasmodium Falciparum Malaria | 662 | Patients from 6 months to 70 years suffering from mono-infection by P. falciparum Randomized, Double-blind, Parallel Assignment 4 doses of ferroquine associated to 1 dose of artefenomel according to age and body weight Study duration: up to 67 days for each patient | Primary: % of patients with Polymerase Reaction Chain (PCR)-adjusted Adequate Clinical and Parasitological Response (ACPR) Secondary: Time to re- emergence, Time to recrudescence, Parasite clearance time, % of patients with PCR - crude ACPR, SAE, AESI, TEAE, % of patients with PCR - adjusted ACPR | SSD: Jul. 2015 DE: 2019 |
| | | | | | |



Dengue Vaccine Co-administration w/ Tdap booster

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-------------|--|----------|---|--|--|
| NCT02992418 | Phase 3 Study of a Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine Administered Concomitantly or Sequentially With Adacel [®] in Healthy Subjects | 688 | Randomized, multicenter, open-label study in 688 subjects aged from 9 to 60 years | Immunogenicity and safety of CYD dengue vaccine and Tdap vaccine when both vaccines are administered concomitantly or sequentially | SSD: Dec. 2016 DE: 2019 |



Dengue Vaccine Different schedules

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-------------|--|----------|---|--|--|
| NCT02628444 | Phase 2a Immunogenicity and Safety of 3- Dose and Booster Dose of Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine in Healthy Subjects 9 to 50 Years of Age | 1050 | Two-stage, multi-national, multi-center, observer-blind, randomized, placebo- controlled Phase II immunogenicity and safety study of tetravalent dengue vaccine | Immunogenicity and safety of 3- dose primary series and booster dose | SSD: May. 2016 DE: 2020 |



| Dengue Vaccine | |
|----------------|----------|
| Booster dose | |
| | Vaccines |
| | |

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-------------|---|----------|---|---|--|
| NCT02623725 | Phase 2b Study of a Booster Dose of a Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine in Subjects Who Previously Completed the 3-dose Schedule | 252 | Multi-center, observer-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, Phase II trial | Immunogenicity and safety of a booster dose | SSD: Apr. 2016 DE: 2019 |



| Rables Vaccine | |
|----------------|----------|
| Verorah | |
| | Vaccines |
| | |

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-------------|---|----------|--|--|--|
| NCT01622062 | Phase 3 Immunogenicity and Safety of Verorab [®] in a "One-week" Intradermal Post-exposure Prophylaxis Regimen | 600 | Open-label, randomized, controlled, multi-center, multi-country trial | Immunogenicity and safety of Verorab[®] in a "One-week" intradermal post-exposure prophylaxis regimen | SSD: Jun. 2012 DE: 2019 |
| | | | | | |



| Flu Vaccine | |
|-------------------|----------|
| Fluzone HD-OIV HV | |
| | Vaccines |
| | |

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-------------|---|----------|---|---|--|
| NCT03282240 | Phase 3 Safety and Immunogenicity of High-Dose Quadrivalent Influenza Vaccine in Participants ≥65 Years in the US | 2616 | Ph3 randomized ,modified double blind, active controlled, multi center | Safety, immunogenicity, consistency | SSD: Sep. 2017 DE: 2018 |



Flu Vaccine Fluzone HD-QIV HV (Japan)

Oncology Cardiovascular Rare Diseases Infectious disease Neuro, Gene therapy Vaccines

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-------------|--|----------|---|---------------------------|--|
| NCT03233217 | Phase 1/2 | 175 | Ph1/2 randomized, modified double blind, multi center | Safety and immunogenicity | SSD: Sep. 2017 DE: 2018 |
| | Safety and Immunogenicity of High-Dose Quadrivalent Influenza Vaccine in Patients ≥65 Years | | | | DE. 2010 |



| Maninga Vacaina | |
|-----------------|----------|
| | |
| WenQuadTi | Vaccines |
| | |

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-------------|--|----------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| NCT03205371 | Phase 3 Immunogenicity and Safety of a Meningococcal Conjugate Vaccine Given Concomitantly With Other Vaccines in Toddlers | 1200 | Open-label (immunology laboratory technicians will be blinded to group assignment), randomized, parallel-group, active-controlled, multi-center study | Immunogenicity and safety | • SSD: Nov. 2016 • DE: 2020 |



| Dengue Vaccine | |
|----------------|----------|
| Booster | |
| Dooster | Vaccines |
| | |

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-------------|---|----------|---|---|--|
| NCT02824198 | Phase 2b Immunogenicity and Safety of a Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine Booster Injection in Subjects Who Previously Completed a 3- dose Schedule | 260 | Multi-center, observer-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, Phase II non- inferiority trial | Immunogenicity and safety of a booster dose | SSD: Jul. 2016 DE: 2019 |



Rabies Vaccine Purified Vero Rabies

Oncology Cardiovascular Rare Diseases Infectious disease Neuro, Gene therapy Vaccines

| NCT03145766 Phase 2 320 • Multicenter observer-blind controlled • Immunogenicity and safety • SSD: Apr 2017 | Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|---|-------------|---|----------|--|---------------------------|--|
| Immunogenicity and Safety of a Purified Vero Rabies Vaccine | NCT03145766 | Phase 2 Immunogenicity and Safety of a Purified Vero Rabies Vaccine | 320 | Multicenter, observer-blind, controlled, randomized, Phase II study | Immunogenicity and safety | SSD: Apr. 2017 DE: 2018 |



Dengue Vaccine Co-administration w/ HPV

 Oncology
 Cardiovascular

 Rare Diseases
 Infectious disease

 S, Neuro, Gene therapy
 Vaccines

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-------------|--|----------|---|--|--|
| NCT02979535 | Phase 3b Immunogenicity and Safety of a Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine Administered Concomitantly or Sequentially With Cervarix® | 480 | Randomized, open-label, multicenter study | Immunogenicity and safety of a Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine administered concomitantly or sequentially with Cervarix[®] | SSD: Nov. 2016 DE: 2019 |



Dengue Vaccine Co-administration w/ HPV

 Immuno-Inflammation
 Diabetes

 Oncology
 Cardiovascular

 Rare Diseases
 Infectious disease

 IS, Neuro, Gene therapy
 Vaccines

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-------------|--|----------|---|---|---|
| NCT02993757 | Phase 3b | 528 | Randomized, open-label, multicenter study | Immunogenicity and safety of a Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine | SSD: Dec. 2016DE: 2019 |
| | Immunogenicity and Safety of a Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine Administered Concomitantly or Sequentially With Gardasil® | | | administered concomitantly or sequentially with Gardasil [®] | |
| | | | | | |



| Dengue Vaccine | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Asia | | Infectious disease |
| | MS, Neuro, Gene therapy | Vaccines |

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-------------|--|----------|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| NCT01373281 | Phase 3 Study of a Novel Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine in Healthy Children Aged 2 to 14 Years in Asia | 10275 | Randomized, double-blind, controlled, multicenter | Efficacy and safety | • SSD: Jun. 2011 • DE: 2018 |



| Dengue Vaccine | |
|----------------|----------|
| Latin America | |
| | Vaccines |
| | |

| Study | Description | Patients | Design | Endpoints | Status |
|-------------|--|----------|---|---------------------|--|
| NCT01374516 | Phase 3 | 20869 | Randomized, double-blind, controlled, multicenter | Efficacy and safety | SSD: Jun. 2011 DE: 2019 |
| | Study of a Novel Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine in Healthy Children and Adolescents Aged 9 to 16 Years in Latin America | | | | DL. 2013 |

